



AGENDA
CITY COUNCIL
Work Session –February 22, 2016, 5:00 p.m.
Council Chambers

1368 Research Park Dr
Beavercreek, Ohio

- I. CALL TO ORDER
- II. ROLL CALL
- III. APPROVAL OF AGENDA
- IV. DISCUSSION ITEMS
 - A. Fallon Survey
 - B. Timeline for Charter Amendments
- V. ADJOURNMENT

This survey research data was gathered through telephone interviews that specially-trained interviewers conducted with 300 randomly-selected registered voters within the City of Beavercreek, Ohio, who had valid residential, VOIP or cellular telephone numbers. The interviews were performed during the period of January 4, 2016 through January 9, 2016. The overall estimated margin of sampling error is +/- 5.65%, based on a confidence level of 95%, although it varies for each individual question. This means that if this survey were repeated, 95 times out of 100 the results would be within plus or minus 5.65% of those provided herein.

Adjustments were made to weight the results toward demographic and geographic characteristics of the city's electorate, in order to account for under- and over-sampling that normally occurs as a result of the random selection process, and to ensure that all major sub-groups are represented in proportion to their actual percentages. Like all polls, this survey research is subject to other possible sources of error, such as unintentional bias in the wording of questions, data-entry error and nonresponse bias.



Fallon Research & Communications, Inc.

P.O. Box 12181

Columbus, Ohio 43212

(800) 676-3996 - Toll-free

(202) 263-7292 - Washington, D.C.

(614) 341-7005 - Columbus, Ohio

(202) 318-0346 - Fax

www.FallonResearch.com

CITIZEN SATISFACTION SURVEY

City of Beavercreek, Ohio

1/4/2016 – 1/9/2016

N=300, +/- 5.65%

Registered Voters

(Percentages may not add up to 100% due to rounding)



www.FallonResearch.com

Q. 1. Generally speaking, would you say that Ohio is going in the right direction, or has it gotten off onto the wrong track?

- 71.6% Right direction
- 16 Wrong track
- 4.3 Mixed/both (volunteered)
- 8 Unsure/no answer

Q. 2. Would you say that Greene County is going in the right direction, or has it gotten off onto the wrong track?

- 78.5% Right direction
- 9.4 Wrong track
- 4.3 Mixed/both (volunteered)
- 7.8 Unsure/no answer

Q. 3. Would you say that the City of Beavercreek is moving in the right direction or has it gotten off onto the wrong track?

- 76.3% Right direction
- 16.6 Wrong track
- 3.7 Mixed/both (volunteered)
- 3.3 Unsure/no answer

Q. 4. At this time, what would you say is the biggest problem facing the City of Beavercreek that should be the top priority for city leaders to be working on?

- 19.3% Taxes/spending/budget matters
 - 13.3 Roads/traffic/street surfaces
 - 12.3 Planning/growth
 - 11 Schools/education matters/school funding
 - 6 Utilities/infrastructure/water
 - 6 Safety/law enforcement/security
 - 3.7 Businesses/commerce/jobs
 - 2 Transit/public transportation
 - 7 No problems or concerns/nothing needs to be done
 - 7.7 Other matters
 - 11.7 Unsure/no answer
-

Turning to some specific matters...

Q. 5. Generally speaking, how much of a problem would you say traffic congestion is in Beavercreek? Is it a very big problem, somewhat of a problem, not much of problem or not a problem at all?

7.4% Very big
46.2 Somewhat
31.3 Not much
15.1 Not a problem

0 Unsure/no answer

Looking at the city...

Q. 6. Generally speaking, how would you rate the quality of most services provided to your neighborhood by the City of Beavercreek? Would you generally say that they are excellent, good, fair poor or very poor?

81.1% TOTAL POSITIVE RATING

23.4 Excellent
57.7 Good

14.3% Fair

3.3% TOTAL NEGATIVE RATING

2.4 Poor
.9 Very poor

1.3% Unsure/no answer

Q. 7. Looking at a specific building, would you say that Beavercreek's City Hall is a....(sequentially rotated)...good facility that needs no major improvements...adequate facility that needs to be updated and renovated...a poor facility that needs to be replaced... or, do you not have enough information about this to have formed an opinion?

11.3% Good
12.3 Adequate
3.2 Poor
67.4 No opinion
5.7 Unsure/no answer

Q. 8. Generally speaking, do you think it is a good or bad idea to use city tax dollars to renovate and upgrade the City Hall building?

53.6% Good idea
30.2 Bad idea
16.2 Unsure/no answer

Thinking more about the City of Beavercreek, I would like to read you a list of specific services provided by the City, or by other entities under contract with the City. After each one, please rate the job that you think the City has done in this particular area as excellent, good, fair, poor or very poor.

(RANDOMLY ROTATED NEXT 7 QUESTIONS)

How would you rate the job that the City of Beavercreek has done...

Q. 9. Ensuring that storm water drainage and run-off systems are working properly, so they do not become backed-up?

69.9% TOTAL POSITIVE RATING

21.2 Excellent
48.7 Good

18.5% Fair

6.2% TOTAL NEGATIVE RATING

5.3 Poor
.9 Very poor

5.3% Unsure/no answer

Q. 10. Enforcing zoning ordinances, such as those for the removal of inoperable, or junked, automobiles and keeping lawns mowed?

65.1% TOTAL POSITIVE RATING

19.4 Excellent
45.7 Good

22.3% Fair

5.2% TOTAL NEGATIVE RATING

4.6 Poor
.6 Very poor

7.4% Unsure/no answer

Q. 11. Managing the City budget and tax dollars?

49% TOTAL POSITIVE RATING

6.7 Excellent
42.3 Good

29.9% Fair

6.9% TOTAL NEGATIVE RATING

5.2 Poor
1.7 Very poor

14.2% Unsure/no answer

Q. 12. Repairing and maintaining street and road surfaces?

61.6% TOTAL POSITIVE RATING

15 Excellent
46.6 Good

27.2% Fair

10.9% TOTAL NEGATIVE RATING

7.9 Poor
3 Very poor

.3% Unsure/no answer

Q. 13. Providing police protection and law enforcement services?

86.3% TOTAL POSITIVE RATING

35.3 Excellent
51 Good

11.3% Fair

1.3% TOTAL NEGATIVE RATING

.7 Poor
.6 Very poor

1.1% Unsure/no answer

Q. 14. Providing snow and ice removal services?

70.8% TOTAL POSITIVE RATING

21 Excellent
49.8 Good

20% Fair

7.4% TOTAL NEGATIVE RATING

5 Poor
2.4 Very poor

1.8% Unsure/no answer

Q. 15. Providing parks and offering recreation services?

78.5% TOTAL POSITIVE RATING

33.2 Excellent
45.3 Good

15.1% Fair

4.7% TOTAL NEGATIVE RATING

3.4 Poor
1.3 Very poor

1.7% Unsure/no answer

Q. 16. Communicating with businesses, city residents and homeowners?

57.5% TOTAL POSITIVE RATING

11.1 Excellent
46.4 Good

27% Fair

9.1% TOTAL NEGATIVE RATING

7.9 Poor
1.2 Very poor

6.3% Unsure/no answer

Thinking more about communications...

Q. 17. How do you get most of your information about Beavercreek city government? It is by...

(randomly rotated)

8.3% Viewing social media postings
14.6 Reading the newspaper
4.7 Watching Channel 5 and 99's programming
12.6 Talking with friends and neighbors
40.9 Reading the City's newsletter, *In Touch...or...*

- 3.8 Visiting the City's website
- 8.1 All/combination (volunteered)
- 6.3 Other (volunteered)
- .7 Unsure/no answer

Q. 18. As you may know, the City of Beavercreek publishes a newsletter, named In Touch, which is distributed to city residents. In the future, would you prefer to receive this newsletter through... (rotated)... email, over the Internet... or... the U.S. mail, delivered by the postal service?

- 28.3% Email
- 65.6 U.S. Mail
- 4.4 Does not matter/do not read it (volunteered)
- 1.7 Unsure/no answer

Looking at some various policies and new ideas that are being considered...

Q. 19. Do you think it is a good or bad idea for the City of Beavercreek, Township and Township Park District to form a single agency in order to maintain, manage and operate the local parks used by city and township residents?

- 76.4% Good idea
- 9.5 Bad idea
- 14.1 Unsure/no answer

Q. 20. Generally speaking, do you think it is a good or bad idea to consolidate some services that are currently provided by both the City of Beavercreek and the Township, in order to reduce costs?

- 84% Good idea
- 7.3 Bad idea
- 8.7 Unsure/no answer

Q. 21. If the City forms an electric and gas service aggregation program, in order to offer residents lower rates for their household electricity services, do you think that your household will participate?

- 61% Yes
- 14.6 No
- 15.5 Maybe (volunteered)
- 1.1 Rent/have landlord/other type of dwelling (volunteered)
- 7.7 Unsure/no answer

Q. 22. Generally speaking, how familiar are you with the concept of aggregation of utilities for services like electricity and natural gas? Would you say you are very familiar, somewhat familiar or not familiar with it?

8.9% Very
53 Somewhat
37.4 Not
.7 Unsure/no answer

Looking more closely at city finances...

Q. 23. Prior to this survey, were you aware that Beavercreek has one of the lowest tax rates among cities in Montgomery or Greene Counties?

58.3% Yes, aware
39.5 No, not aware
2.3 Unsure/no answer

Q. 24. As costs continue to increase and more money is needed to operate in the future, do you think that the City, should... (rotated) ...seek a tax levy from voters, in order to preserve its existing programs and services... or ...make the cuts and reductions needed to stay within the current budget, in order to avoid a tax increase?

43.5% Seek a tax levy
43.2 Make cuts
6.1 Both/combination (volunteered)
7.3 Unsure/no answer

Q. 25. Supposing that the City does seek additional tax revenue within the next few years, would you prefer that it be... (rotated) ...an earned income tax, which is charged only on work wages... or ...a property tax, which is charged only to property owners?

59.4% Earned income tax
26.5 Property tax
4.9 Mixed/some of both (volunteered)
6.2 Neither (volunteered)
3 Unsure/no answer

Q. 26. Generally speaking, would you favor or oppose a tax increase in order to fund police and law enforcement services, so that no cuts or reductions in patrol services have to be made?

70.6% Favor
26 Oppose
3.4 Unsure/no answer

Q. 27. Generally speaking, would you favor or oppose a tax increase in order to fund infrastructure improvements and repairs, to catch-up on a backlog of work that was delayed because of the economy?

51.6% Favor
41 Oppose
7.4 Unsure/no answer

Q. 28. Supposing for a moment that more money becomes available for infrastructure improvements and repairs, which of the following would you say should be the top priority for use of the money? Is it...

(randomly rotated)

52.1% Paving street surfaces
17.4 Upgrading the storm water system
20 Constructing pedestrian walkways and bicycle facilities...or...
3.3 Replacing street curbs
3.2 All/combination (volunteered)
2.7 Other (volunteered)
1.3 Unsure/no answer

Finally, I have a few short questions for statistical purposes...

Q. 29. I would like to read you a list of age groups. Please stop me when I get to the one you are in.

39.2% 18 to 44
31.5 45 to 64
29 65 and older
.3 Unsure/no answer

Q. 30. Do you own or rent the home you currently live in?

80.5% Own
16.3 Rent
2.2 Other (volunteered)
1.1 Unsure/no answer

Q. 31. Regardless of whether it is on a full or part-time basis, are you currently employed?

58.2% Yes, employed
40.5 No, not employed (homemaker)
1.3 Unsure/no answer

Gender:

47.7% Male
52.3 Female



VERBATIM RESPONSES

Q. 4. At this time, what would you say is the biggest problem facing the City of Beavercreek that should be the top priority for city leaders to be working on?

I think the top priority should be to reign in local spending, so that our taxes don't go up.

Condition of the roads, especially in the smaller, older places.

The schools take too much in taxes.

Repainting the lines on the roads.

I think one of the concerns is the supporting of powerlines, and tree services getting caught, with additional fees for property owners. If you planted additional trees along powerlines, they could be cut down.

I think they need to fix the water situation.

Things are well enough, don't mess them up.

Just making sure they're fiscally responsible, that there is enough police coverage around the city to make sure citizens are kept safe.

They seem to be doing a good job with everything that comes up.

There are no big issues that need to be handled.

Job opportunities.

I think that everybody's problem should be better jobs and security.

The need more small businesses and more independent restaurants.

The road services in certain neighborhoods, more thorough planning and checking to see what is, and what is not, private property.

I'd say, probably, taxes.

The top priority is school ratings, which are really down. Second is environmental services, like free pick-up of tree limbs, like they used to. And, I'd like to see more snow removal on the side streets; it's a problem for kids getting to the bus stops. There are hardly any street lights. From Kemp up to the main crossing is all black at night.

I would like to see more buses and public transportation in Beavercreek.

Infrastructure.

Concerned about the schools raising levies to be able to operate.

Change traffic controls at Jonathan Drive and North Fairfield.

They always seem to have problems having enough money for schools, road repairs and maintenance of the parks.

Building too much.

Spending.

We need an income tax and more community centers.

The biggest problem that the City of Beavercreek needs to focus on is housing and food stamps.

The school taxes are really bad, it's too much growth and it's too fast.

Too much development.

Probably the roads, the infrastructure and bridges.

City managers need to be more accessible.

They need to focus on getting the schools to be more economical and the timing of the schools' transportation services.

The question of real estate taxes.

I don't want Beavercreek to be a "sanctuary city," like Dayton, and I don't mind immigrants. But they should go through the proper steps. And, I don't want my property taxes to keep going up.

Coming up with a better method of income for the community.

I think drainage for the yards and to have sidewalks everywhere, so kids and people who like to walk are safe. And, instead of building and taking land away from the animals, make use of the buildings that are already there. Also, raises for the police officers and firefighters. Animal control officers and Greene County animal control system have more fun.

Work on property taxes.

Better care and upkeep of our parks.

In my neighborhood there are 10 of us moving out. We can have the same home with lower taxes in Montgomery County.

Federal cuts at the air force base and all over the area.

The taxes and how the funds are used.

They should have a larger police force and do more road repairs.

Public schooling.

The infrastructure.

Traffic.

If they keep their priorities where they are right now, the big problems will take care of themselves.

I would say roads, like Highways 675 and 70.

Working on traffic issues, which they are doing.

Access to public transportation.

I think we need an income tax.

Probably the schools. We are paying a lot of money and not seeing the greatest results.

The school district.

There seems to be a crime wave hitting Beavercreek.

They could tighten up on some of the codes, that they have on the books, which they don't enforce.

Income tax.

I think the schools.

City income tax.

Get the buses working again so kids don't have to walk so far. Have the buses go out a little further.

I would say, keep the income tax out.

I guess the roadways, keeping them open and making them wider.

Employment, lowering taxes and paving the roads.

Traffic and road improvements.

The high property taxes would be about it.

Too much development. I don't think there is a clear plan on where they want the City in ten years.

I would say that, since the population is growing so quickly, they are not keeping up with the infrastructures. A recreation center would be nice.

The schools are constantly trying to push levies on the homeowners.

Financial responsibility.

Something to do with the streets, since they need a lot of repair.

The size of Beavercreek and the budget needs to be managed better.

Orchard Lane and the traffic issues there.

Infrastructure.

Excessive property taxes.

Hwy 35 east of town. They've been talking about changes for 37 years and still haven't done anything.

Keeping taxes under control.

They let our roads get really bad. I had to complain and complain.

They charge too much in taxes.

Property taxes are way too high.

I think the way they chop up the parking lots to control traffic in the shopping centers is poorly thought out.

Infrastructure and roads.

There is no dog park in Beavercreek. We need more parks that are fenced in and a recycling center.

Street repairs.

The property taxes are very expensive here for the houses that you get.

No city income tax and funding of everything through property taxes.

Traffic.

The roads.

The schools are really good, so that is not an issue. I haven't even noticed that there are any issues. However, the roads are pretty bad. As far as the City goes, the management of it is fine. The road congestion is really bad.

I would say my biggest problem is Singapore math and the Common Core education. It's taking our education system into the wrong direction and kids aren't learning the basics.

The road system and letting new business come in without paying taxes.

I think the schools and School Board.

We need something for the youth to do.

More sidewalks.

I guess the school system could be better.

There is a lack of maintenance for the streets even though they're making improvements; it doesn't seem like they're moving that fast.

Maintaining the businesses we have.

Taking care of the roads and streets here.

Infrastructure and places to support the growth.

I think the availability and use of artificial drugs. For instance, Meth and synthetic Heroin and the easy attainability of assault rifles, which should not be allowed for purchase as they are meant for war.

7

Q. 4. At this time, what would you say is the biggest problem facing the City of Beavercreek that should be the top priority for city leaders to be working on?

FALLON RESEARCH & COMMUNICATIONS, INC
Beavercreek Citizen Satisfaction Survey – January, 2016

Controlling both business and residential growth.

Our water quality.

Street lighting.

Keep more green spaces.

Repairing the roads.

Supporting the people that are hurting, out of work or hungry.

Drugs and Heroin.

The traffic on North Fairfield.

More jobs.

City planning.

I think attention to our infrastructure. I know that they're working on it, but they need to just keep doing that. Our roads, our buildings, including City Hall, the police department, the senior center etcetera.

Marijuana laws.

A lot of money goes to Beavercreek High School. Although they have money for cleaning supplies, they don't seem to have it, towels, cleaning supplies.

Local income taxes.

I feel completing the houses they are building would bring more money to community.

The rapid expansion of the City and not enough planning for water, sewer and the interaction of Beavercreek and Beavercreek Township. I am concerned with the mall planning and overpopulation of stores, lands and shopping venues without regards towards enough customers. And, basically developing new venues without regarding the impacts on the community.

Street safety and lack of maintenance for the streets. Even though they're making improvements, it doesn't seem like they're moving that fast.

Overcrowding, they've gotten away from helping the people. It seems like they're putting money first.

Everything in general.

Education taxes.

I think it needs be more racially diverse.

I think the schools need to be better funded.

Budget.

The narcotics problem and children being around that environment.

Working on an income tax for everyone there.

It has become more of a strip-mall type of community. We're just bringing in more people and causing too much congestion.

Too much construction and roads aren't good enough to handle it.

Handling the growth of Beavercreek infrastructure and bringing people together – ethnic and racial – to construct harmony throughout the community. They put a lot towards education and they take care of one another.

We have to grow together not separately.

Having wide enough roads for all of the traffic we are starting to get. Also, the overcrowding in our schools.

They need to focus on Wright Patterson Air Force Base and working with surrounding communities to keep the emphasis on the air force base. There is great talent surrounding the base, and we need to make sure congress knows how strong Wright Patterson Air Force Base is.

We need to replace our leadership with people who are not self-serving, who can come up with the facts and stick to the facts, instead of making things up, like most politicians do. They need to stop taking taxpayer money and learn to work with less, like every person and business does.

We have been having a lot of bomb scares in the schools, especially the high school, which has been dismissed early four to five times in a one month-period.

Lowering property tax.

Planning ahead to reduce traffic congestion as the city grows.

Growth and support for the community.

The education system could be better-managed.

The School Board and not having the property taxes go up. The City should look into city workers paying an income tax.

The schools.

In the past they have really wanted to remain just a bedroom community. However, they need to have some more businesses and companies here to make allowances for the growth.

City planning, as far as where to build schools and business.

We need to raise the grades we're getting from the public schools.

Getting US 35 fixed out there, going east toward Factory Road.

Traffic control.

Keeping the older citizens in the city.

Keeping the commercial areas strong and desirable.

Properties taxes.

Roads.

Streets and repairs.

I feel the schools can do more educating for special needs children.

Property and income taxes need to go down.

Fixing US 35 around Factory Road.

Controlling both business and residential growth.

The taxes are too high.

Fixing the roads.

Growth and support for the community along with it.

Industrial expansion.

The main concern is the police officers in Beavercreek, because of the shooting that took place in Wal-Mart. The police department seems to be watching more traffic than watching businesses, shopping lots and neighborhoods. Our street departments could be a lot better. For example, potholes and debris along the roads could be cleaned up.

Poverty and the homeless.

Maintaining our streets.

We need bike lines on the city roads.

Overdevelopment.

I prefer no city tax.

Too much development.

Taxes.

I think the age of the residents in Beavercreek is rising and so, with younger generations having less kids, it is affecting education and things like that. Change things like funding for education that would make the most sense.

Reduction in property values.

Maintaining our infrastructure.

Whatever it takes for the school bus system.

They are probably doing the best that they can.

Slowing down the development; more people means more taxes.

The appearance of racism as a result of the Council not allowing the bus stop.

I kind of like the way things are going.

Public transportation is not that great. It's hard to get around without a car. Property taxes are not that bad because I'm a renter.

Muslim immigration.

School safety.

Sustaining a long range plan on where development is going, not implementing an income tax and attracting large companies to the Beavercreek area.

Bring more business to the community and taxes for schools.

People on government assistance not finding jobs and Section 8 issues.

Legalizing marijuana. I don't smoke marijuana, but I think it should be legalized. I have chronic pain and it would help a lot of people.

The police force.

In my opinion, the crime has increased because of the bus line being allowed in from Dayton.

Fix the roads.

Crime and taxes.

The education system; there is too much testing by Common Core.

Strengthen the infrastructure.

Too many city tax levies.

As a mom, I am always interested in the schools. The roads are falling apart, especially US 35. No one is doing anything about them. We have been here for two years, and the potholes are still there.

Looking at lowering the property tax.

Some of things they do need to work on are roads that need to be repaved.

There is one shopping center in need of renovation and needs help to anchor more business. They need to work on that shopping center. Honestly, I do not know if that is a priority. It's a growing a center and they are doing a pretty good job.

Revising the property tax versus income tax.

The streets are pretty clean. Maybe violence?

Debt and our schools.

I think overgrowth. The city is expanding too much without the infrastructure of parks and trails to support the city.

We need green space. Basically, we need more forest wilderness areas and less building of shopping centers. We have plenty of those, we need more forests.

Lowering real estate taxes.

Possibly Heroin addictions.

I would say the streets are pretty clean, but there has been more violence in the last few years, than I've been used to, probably from a growing population.

Adding something that attracts families and neighbors together.

We don't have anything near what other cities have for services. Also, we definitely suffer in our judicial system, we are not family focused.

I think that we need to get public transportation and work on the welfare center.

I would say property taxes. I like the fact that they've been working on the roads and I would like to see more of that. Other than that, I would like to limit the number of RTA bus stops. I would like to see money for the schools and parks and recreation, and a library, too.

There's too much development and traffic.

I'd like to see the extra fees looked into, book fees.

Traffic safety.

I feel like the City needs to work more on supporting the police and fire departments.

I think there should be more emphasis on local business in the area.

Controlled growth.

For senior citizens, like us, they keep raising the taxes on property and we are not getting a raise in Social Security or pensions. They seem to be so concerned about the bicycle streets, when they should be could be more concerned about the streets.

They are too anxious to go with national political trends, so they cave in to putting in bus stops to bring in people from Dayton. It's changing the flavor of the city.

I think the biggest problem is they don't have sidewalks in the neighborhoods, so it leaves folks not being able to enjoy the neighborhood. To me it is a safety issue. I have a five-year old learning to ride her bike and she has no place to ride, except for the street.

They need to resolve a good balance between property tax and income tax.

Lower property taxes without sacrifice of any services.

I think they should do more activities in the City of Beavercreek.

Finding a better way to fund public schools.

It's rising population and cost of services.

I just think politicians are in politics and don't care about the people.

The budget and expenses.

We have some road problems, and we are very much supporting police and fire.

I would probably have to say entertainment. Increasing emphasis on what to do. The only things being built are housing developments and places to eat, but nothing to do.

Coming from Alaska, where we had great city lighting, I would like to see more of that here. Off of Lakeview, especially. I'd feel much safer.

Number one, education. And then, taxes.

I would say the amount of growth in the area and the infrastructure in the area.

I honestly don't approve of the transit situation.

Housing, we need more housing.

Property tax.

I think school buses are an issue. I feel the street lights on the highway is an issue. It is really hard to see.

Funding the schools in a different way.

It would be nice to have a downtown area.

I think we need an income tax cut. The only way we could support ourselves is a tax levy. We are losing millions each year to other cities. It should be used for Beavercreek since the money is earned by Beavercreek.

Car accidents and people with no money in the area.

I feel the roads need improving. However, they are always asking for tax dollars. I think its fine, nothing that needs to be dealt with right away.

Vacant buildings.

Job opportunities.

I would like to see more buses for schools and for all the schools to start at the same time.

More social services.

Cash revenue.

I feel that people working outside of Beavercreek shouldn't be taxed twice.

Streets, street maintenance and infrastructure.

The City of Beavercreek needs an Income Tax; the property tax can't continue to keep going up.

The lack of income tax.

Security.

Get a more balanced government; we haven't elected a Democrat in twenty years.

The roads need to be fixed. Mostly streets, I guess. That's what we mostly have problems with our traffic lights. Some places need lights and there are none.

It would be zoning and the amount of new restaurants moving into the area. Just the fact that they're not paralleling the growth of the community with the roads and road access. Why are we getting more businesses and putting in new businesses without making arrangements for more roads to get to them?

Safety comes to mind; keeping a better eye on things. Perhaps more patrols on the street. I hardly ever see patrol cars. I don't get the sense that they are looking around, making sure everything is okay. In Southern California, specifically Newport Beach, I always saw a lot more patrol cars.

Schools.

Being smart in their development and continuing to encourage small businesses and businesses, in general, to come to the area.

Working on parks would be cool.

I think that on US 35 the lights should be taken out. No traffic lights are needed there.

I really don't know. There are so many issues facing us at this point.

Parks.



VERBATIM RESPONSES

*Q. 17. How do you get most of your information about
Beavercreek city government? (extraneous)*

Social media, and the *In Touch*.

Channel 2 & 7.

Friends and social media.

Reading *In Touch* and social media postings.

Only Communications that I have got are things through school.

We get the paper and we also watch the news, and visiting the website to check out when we heard something.

Radio.

We don't get any information about the city.

Google.

I read the newspaper, read the newsletter, *In Touch*, and one called *Connection*, and from members of the City Council.

Reading the newspaper, talking to neighbors.

I get my information though a carrier pigeon.

In Touch and channel 7.

Social media and the newspaper.

Friends and neighbors and *In Touch*.

In Touch and the newspaper.

Living here 28 years. Read paper. Talk to neighbors. Pickup stuff if you live here. The Grapevine.

It's 50/50 between *In Touch* and friends and neighbors, political folks.

TV.



VERBATIM RESPONSES

Q. 28. Supposing for a moment that more money becomes available for infrastructure improvements and repairs, which of the following would you say should be the top priority for use of the money? (extraneous)

Paving streets and replacing curbs.

More flow in and around grates.

Bridges.

Create city income tax.

I think it's just fine as it is.

I would want to know where the money comes from first. Decrease taxes to avoid windfall.

None of them.

Replacing curbs and paving streets.

Q. 28. Supposing for a moment that more money becomes available for infrastructure improvements and repairs, which of the following would you say should be the top priority for use of the money? (extraneous)

Q. 1. Generally speaking, would you say that Ohio is going in the right direction, or has it gotten off onto the wrong track?	AGE GROUP:				HOMEOWNERSHIP STATUS:				EMPLOYMENT STATUS:				Table Total	
	18 to 44	45 to 64	65 and older		DK/NA	Own	Rent	Other	DK/NA	Yes, employed	No, not employed/homemaker			
	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %		Col %
Right direction	74.4%	62.4%	77.4%	100.0%	100.0%	72.5%	63.7%	100.0%	67.1%	74.6%	67.9%	50.1%	71.6%	
Wrong track	15.0%	19.2%	14.3%	.0%	16.5%	17.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%	14.0%	18.7%	24.1%	16.0%	
Mixed/both	5.3%	5.0%	2.4%	.0%	3.6%	8.9%	.0%	.0%	.0%	2.8%	6.7%	.0%	4.3%	
DK/NA	5.3%	13.4%	5.9%	.0%	7.5%	10.3%	.0%	32.9%	32.9%	8.5%	6.7%	25.8%	8.0%	
Table Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q. 1. Generally speaking, would you say that Ohio is going in the right direction, or has it gotten off onto the wrong track?	GENDER:		PARTISAN REGISTRATION:				ZIP CODE:				Table Total	
	Male	Female	Democrat	Republican	Unaffiliated/other	45430	45431	45432	45440	Other		
	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %		Col %
Right direction	74.0%	69.4%	63.5%	77.6%	68.6%	64.1%	72.1%	78.4%	69.0%	73.9%	66.6%	71.6%
Wrong track	14.6%	17.3%	19.1%	14.0%	16.9%	27.5%	12.6%	14.1%	14.1%	19.4%	.0%	16.0%
Mixed/both	4.0%	4.7%	4.6%	3.5%	5.3%	4.0%	7.3%	1.7%	5.3%	.0%	.0%	4.3%
DK/NA	7.4%	8.6%	12.7%	4.9%	9.2%	4.4%	8.1%	5.9%	11.6%	6.7%	33.4%	8.0%
Table Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Q. 2. Would you say that Greene County is going in the right direction, or has it gotten off onto the wrong track?	Q. 1. Generally speaking, would you say that Ohio is going in the right direction, or has it gotten off onto the wrong track?				Table Total
	Right direction	Wrong track	Mixed/both	DK/NA	
Right direction	90.6%	54.0%	46.7%	37.4%	78.5%
Wrong track	4.3%	37.5%	.0%	4.0%	9.4%
Mixed/both	2.8%	.0%	37.2%	8.1%	4.3%
DK/NA	2.3%	8.4%	16.1%	50.6%	7.8%
Table Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Q. 2. Would you say that Greene County is going in the right direction, or has it gotten off onto the wrong track?	AGE GROUP:				HOMEOWNERSHIP STATUS:				EMPLOYMENT STATUS:				Table Total
	18 to 44 Col %	45 to 64 Col %	65 and older Col %	DK/NA Col %	Own Col %	Rent Col %	Other Col %	DK/NA Col %	Yes employed Col %	No, not employed/homemaker Col %	DK/NA Col %	DK/NA Col %	
Right direction	9.8%	10.9%	7.3%	.0%	8.7%	10.4%	34.1%	.0%	10.3%	8.4%	.0%	.0%	9.4%
Wrong track	3.5%	2.9%	6.9%	.0%	4.9%	2.3%	.0%	.0%	2.8%	6.6%	.0%	.0%	4.3%
Mixed/both	3.5%	12.4%	8.5%	.0%	7.9%	6.5%	.0%	32.9%	7.3%	7.8%	25.8%	25.8%	7.8%
DK/NA	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Table Total													

Q. 2. Would you say that Greene County is going in the right direction, or has it gotten off onto the wrong track?	GENDER:		PARTISAN REGISTRATION:				ZIP CODE:				Table Total		
	Male Col %	Female Col %	Democrat Col %	Republican Col %	Unaffiliated/other Col %	45430 Col %	45431 Col %	45432 Col %	45434 Col %	45440 Col %			
												83.5%	74.0%
Right direction	5.9%	12.6%	9.5%	9.2%	9.7%	20.2%	4.3%	8.0%	9.9%	10.4%	.0%	.0%	9.4%
Wrong track	5.3%	3.3%	3.0%	5.8%	3.1%	4.0%	5.8%	1.7%	5.6%	3.2%	.0%	.0%	4.3%
Mixed/both	5.2%	10.1%	11.3%	5.8%	8.2%	6.8%	6.8%	6.0%	10.4%	6.7%	33.4%	33.4%	7.8%
DK/NA	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Table Total													

Q. 3. Would you say that the City of Beavercreek is moving in the right direction or has it gotten off onto the wrong track?	Q. 1. Generally speaking, would you say that Ohio is going in the right direction, or has it gotten off onto the wrong track?				Q. 2. Would you say that Greene County is going in the right direction, or has it gotten off onto the wrong track?				Table Total
	Right direction Col %	Wrong track Col %	Mixed/both Col %	DK/NA Col %	Right direction Col %	Wrong track Col %	Mixed/both Col %	DK/NA Col %	
Right direction	11.5%	39.9%	7.5%	20.7%	8.3%	68.6%	31.2%	29.6%	16.6%
Wrong track	1.9%	1.9%	23.2%	12.5%	2.6%	3.2%	23.5%	4.3%	3.7%
Mixed/both	1.4%	4.1%	8.0%	16.9%	1.3%	.0%	.0%	30.4%	3.3%
DK/NA	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Table Total									

Q. 3. Would you say that the City of Beavercreek is moving in the right direction or has it gotten off onto the wrong track? Table Total	AGE GROUP:				HOMEOWNERSHIP STATUS:				EMPLOYMENT STATUS:				Table Total	
	18 to 44	45 to 64	65 and older	DK/NA	Own	Rent	Other	DK/NA	Yes, employed	No, not employed/homemaker	DK/NA	Col %	Col %	Table Total
	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %
Right direction	78.9%	72.1%	77.2%	100.0%	76.7%	78.7%	49.9%	67.1%	80.0%	72.0%	50.1%	76.3%		
Wrong track	14.1%	18.9%	17.7%	.0%	17.5%	8.6%	50.1%	.0%	15.2%	18.4%	24.1%	16.6%		
Mixed/both	4.5%	4.9%	1.4%	.0%	2.5%	10.5%	.0%	.0%	2.7%	5.3%	.0%	3.7%		
DK/NA	2.6%	4.1%	3.7%	.0%	3.3%	2.2%	.0%	32.9%	2.1%	4.3%	25.8%	3.3%		
Table Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q. 3. Would you say that the City of Beavercreek is moving in the right direction or has it gotten off onto the wrong track? Table Total	GENDER:		PARTISAN REGISTRATION:				ZIP CODE:				Table Total	
	Male	Female	Democrat	Republican	Unaffiliated/other	45430	45431	45432	45434	Other	Col %	Table Total
	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %
Right direction	81.9%	71.3%	72.8%	75.3%	79.9%	75.9%	75.1%	79.7%	72.7%	79.8%	100.0%	76.3%
Wrong track	14.3%	18.7%	14.4%	19.1%	14.7%	22.1%	14.6%	15.6%	17.2%	16.7%	.0%	16.6%
Mixed/both	2.6%	4.7%	6.1%	2.1%	4.4%	2.0%	5.7%	3.4%	4.4%	.0%	.0%	3.7%
DK/NA	1.2%	5.3%	6.7%	3.5%	1.0%	.0%	4.6%	1.4%	5.8%	3.5%	.0%	3.3%
Table Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Q. 4. At this time, what would you say is the biggest problem facing the City of Beavercreek that should be the top priority for city leaders to be working on?	AGE GROUP:				HOMEOWNERSHIP STATUS:				EMPLOYMENT STATUS:				Table Total
	18 to 44 Col %	45 to 64 Col %	65 and older Col %	DK/NA Col %	Own Col %	Rent Col %	Other Col %	DK/NA Col %	Yes, employed Col %	No, not employed/homemaker Col %	DK/NA Col %	DK/NA Col %	Col %
	Schools/education matters/school funding	15.9%	6.0%	10.7%	.0%	11.3%	8.7%	32.8%	.0%	11.7%	10.9%	.0%	.0%
Taxes/spending/budget matters	16.8%	22.8%	18.6%	.0%	20.8%	12.6%	.0%	33.6%	18.1%	20.4%	24.1%	24.1%	19.1%
Roads/traffic/street surfaces	9.7%	13.2%	18.9%	.0%	14.9%	8.8%	.0%	.0%	11.8%	16.3%	.0%	.0%	13.5%
Utilities/infrastructure/water	5.0%	6.7%	4.8%	100.0%	5.9%	6.0%	.0%	.0%	7.0%	4.1%	.0%	.0%	5.8%
Businesses/commerce/jobs	4.3%	3.1%	3.5%	.0%	2.8%	6.6%	.0%	32.9%	2.8%	4.2%	25.8%	25.8%	3.7%
Planning/growth	11.4%	12.9%	13.5%	.0%	11.5%	12.9%	33.0%	33.6%	11.8%	12.9%	26.3%	26.3%	12.4%
Safety/law enforcement/security	6.1%	5.9%	5.9%	.0%	5.0%	11.9%	.0%	.0%	6.2%	5.8%	.0%	.0%	5.9%
No problems or concerns/nothing needs to be done	5.4%	6.7%	9.1%	.0%	7.2%	6.3%	.0%	.0%	7.2%	6.5%	.0%	.0%	6.8%
Transit/public transportation	1.9%	2.9%	1.1%	.0%	2.0%	.0%	17.1%	.0%	2.8%	.0%	23.8%	23.8%	2.0%
Other matters	5.4%	12.0%	6.1%	.0%	7.8%	8.6%	.0%	.0%	8.3%	6.9%	.0%	.0%	7.6%
DK/NA	18.1%	8.0%	8.0%	.0%	10.8%	17.7%	17.1%	100.0%	12.3%	11.8%	.0%	.0%	11.9%
Table Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Q. 4. At this time, what would you say is the biggest problem facing the City of Beavercreek that should be the top priority for city leaders to be working on?	GENDER:		PARTISAN REGISTRATION:				ZIP CODE:				Table Total	
	Male Col %	Female Col %	Democrat Col %	Republican Col %	Unaffiliated/other Col %	45430 Col %	45431 Col %	45432 Col %	45434 Col %	45440 Col %	Other Col %	Table Total
	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %
Schools/education matters/school funding	9.0%	13.2%	10.0%	10.8%	12.5%	15.9%	12.9%	16.4%	4.0%	3.5%	33.4%	11.2%
Taxes/spending/budget matters	20.4%	18.0%	20.8%	20.2%	16.6%	9.8%	17.5%	22.9%	25.6%	12.9%	33.3%	19.1%
Roads/traffic/street surfaces	13.5%	13.5%	17.8%	12.2%	12.5%	13.2%	9.4%	11.2%	19.9%	12.9%	33.3%	13.5%
Utilities/infrastructure/water	6.5%	5.1%	6.3%	8.4%	2.0%	16.9%	3.3%	1.4%	2.8%	12.6%	.0%	5.8%
Businesses/commerce/jobs	4.1%	3.3%	3.0%	3.7%	4.1%	2.2%	6.0%	3.0%	2.7%	3.2%	.0%	3.7%
Planning/growth	10.6%	14.1%	18.3%	10.5%	11.5%	10.7%	9.5%	14.2%	20.0%	3.3%	.0%	12.4%
Safety/law enforcement/security	5.5%	6.4%	3.2%	7.7%	5.3%	6.4%	7.9%	6.5%	5.7%	.0%	.0%	5.9%
No problems or concerns/nothing needs to be done	9.9%	4.1%	3.2%	9.0%	6.1%	6.8%	9.9%	1.8%	3.9%	16.1%	.0%	6.8%
Transit/public transportation	1.9%	2.0%	1.5%	2.0%	2.2%	.0%	2.4%	3.2%	1.2%	3.2%	.0%	2.0%
Other matters	8.0%	7.3%	9.7%	5.5%	9.1%	4.2%	8.2%	9.6%	5.5%	12.9%	.0%	7.6%
DK/NA	10.6%	13.1%	6.2%	9.9%	18.1%	13.8%	13.0%	9.7%	8.6%	19.6%	.0%	11.9%
Table Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Q. 5. Generally speaking, how much of a problem would you say traffic congestion is in Beavercreek?	AGE GROUP:			HOMEOWNERSHIP STATUS:				EMPLOYMENT STATUS:			Table Total	
	18 to 44 Col %	45 to 64 Col %	65 and older Col %	DK/NA Col %	Own Col %	Rent Col %	Other Col %	DK/NA Col %	Yes, employed Col %	No, not employed/homemaker Col %	DK/NA Col %	Table Total
	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %
Very big	5.4%	9.1%	8.5%	.0%	6.7%	10.3%	17.1%	.0%	6.9%	8.5%	.0%	7.4%
Somewhat	47.1%	43.7%	47.2%	100.0%	45.1%	47.5%	67.2%	67.1%	44.8%	48.9%	26.3%	46.2%
Not much	30.7%	31.8%	31.7%	.0%	33.7%	23.2%	15.8%	.0%	31.0%	31.0%	47.9%	31.3%
Not a problem	16.8%	15.3%	12.7%	.0%	14.5%	19.0%	.0%	32.9%	17.3%	11.6%	25.8%	15.1%
Table Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Q. 5. Generally speaking, how much of a problem would you say traffic congestion is in Beaver Creek?	GENDER:		PARTISAN REGISTRATION:				ZIP CODE:				Table Total	
	Male	Female	Democrat	Republican	Unaffiliated/other	45430	45431	45432	45434	45440		Other
	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %		Col %
Very big	6.8%	8.2%	8.4%	5.6%	9.3%	7.0%	6.8%	3.6%	9.9%	9.5%	33.3%	7.4%
Somewhat	41.9%	50.2%	48.9%	46.0%	44.8%	46.1%	49.2%	42.9%	46.5%	41.8%	66.7%	46.2%
Not much	33.1%	29.6%	30.7%	31.7%	31.0%	22.9%	26.3%	41.6%	34.3%	32.5%	.0%	31.3%
Not a problem	18.4%	12.1%	12.0%	16.7%	14.8%	24.0%	17.7%	11.9%	9.3%	16.2%	.0%	15.1%
Table Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Q. 6. Generally speaking, how would you rate the quality of most services provided to your neighborhood by the City of Beaver Creek?	AGE GROUP:			HOMEOWNERSHIP STATUS:			EMPLOYMENT STATUS:			Table Total		
	18 to 44	45 to 64	65 and older	DK/NA	Own	Rent	Other	DK/NA	Yes, employed		No, not employed/homemaker	
	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %		Col %	
Excellent	24.8%	24.1%	19.9%	100.0%	21.7%	32.0%	34.1%	.0%	25.6%	21.0%	.0%	23.4%
Good	57.7%	54.3%	62.0%	.0%	57.0%	57.0%	65.9%	100.0%	54.2%	62.1%	75.9%	57.7%
Fair	13.2%	16.7%	13.3%	.0%	15.5%	11.0%	.0%	.0%	15.2%	12.7%	24.1%	14.3%
Poor	1.7%	2.0%	3.7%	.0%	3.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	1.2%	4.2%	.0%	2.4%
Very poor	1.6%	1.0%	.0%	.0%	1.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%	1.6%	.0%	.0%	.9%
DK/NA	1.0%	1.9%	1.1%	.0%	1.6%	.0%	.0%	.0%	2.3%	.0%	.0%	1.3%
CONSOLIDATED: Q. 6. Generally speaking, how would you rate the quality of most services provided to your neighborhood by	82.5%	78.4%	81.9%	100.0%	78.7%	89.0%	100.0%	.0%	79.8%	83.1%	75.9%	81.1%
Excellent/good	13.2%	16.7%	13.3%	.0%	15.5%	11.0%	.0%	.0%	15.2%	12.7%	24.1%	14.3%
Fair	3.3%	3.0%	3.7%	.0%	4.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%	2.8%	4.2%	.0%	3.3%
Very poor/poor	1.0%	1.9%	1.1%	.0%	1.6%	.0%	.0%	.0%	2.3%	.0%	.0%	1.3%
DK/NA	1.0%	1.9%	1.1%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Table Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Q. 6. Generally speaking, how would you rate the quality of most services provided to your neighborhood by the City of Beaver Creek?	GENDER:		PARTISAN REGISTRATION:				ZIP CODE:				Table Total	
	Male	Female	Democrat	Republican	Unaffiliated/other	45430	45431	45432	45434	45440		Other
	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %		Col %
Excellent	23.1%	23.7%	14.5%	28.6%	22.0%	10.9%	25.7%	30.1%	17.4%	38.0%	.0%	23.4%
Good	56.4%	58.9%	61.6%	54.5%	59.4%	69.7%	59.5%	58.7%	57.2%	36.0%	33.4%	57.7%
Fair	17.4%	11.5%	17.5%	11.2%	16.4%	12.4%	9.1%	11.2%	21.2%	16.8%	66.6%	14.3%
Poor	.6%	4.0%	3.5%	2.9%	1.0%	4.8%	1.1%	.0%	3.0%	6.2%	.0%	2.4%
Very poor	1.3%	.6%	1.5%	1.4%	.0%	2.2%	1.0%	.0%	.0%	3.0%	.0%	.9%
DK/NA	1.3%	1.3%	1.5%	1.4%	1.1%	.0%	3.4%	.0%	1.3%	.0%	.0%	1.3%
CONSOLIDATED: Q. 6. Generally speaking, how would you rate the quality of most services provided to your neighborhood by	79.4%	82.6%	76.1%	83.1%	81.4%	80.6%	85.3%	88.8%	74.5%	74.0%	33.4%	81.1%
Excellent/good	17.4%	11.5%	17.5%	11.2%	16.4%	12.4%	9.1%	11.2%	21.2%	16.8%	66.6%	14.3%
Fair	1.9%	4.6%	4.9%	4.3%	1.0%	7.0%	2.2%	.0%	3.0%	9.2%	.0%	3.3%
Very poor/poor	1.3%	1.3%	1.5%	1.4%	1.1%	.0%	3.4%	.0%	1.3%	.0%	.0%	1.3%
DK/NA	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Table Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Q. 7. Looking at a specific building, would you say that Beaver Creek's City Hall is a....	AGE GROUP:						HOMEOWNERSHIP STATUS:						EMPLOYMENT STATUS:				Table Total				
	18 to 44		45 to 64		65 and older		DK/NA		Own		Rent		Other		DK/NA			Yes, employed		No, not employed/homemaker	
	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %		Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %
Good facility	8.9%	12.9%	12.8%	12.8%	12.8%	12.8%	.0%	12.2%	4.6%	10.0%	13.6%	10.0%	10.0%	10.0%	10.0%	10.0%	10.0%	10.0%	10.0%	10.0%	11.3%
Adequate facility	6.8%	14.3%	17.8%	17.8%	17.8%	17.8%	.0%	13.2%	10.4%	10.4%	13.4%	11.3%	11.3%	11.3%	11.3%	11.3%	11.3%	11.3%	11.3%	11.3%	12.3%
Poor facility	.9%	2.9%	6.8%	6.8%	6.8%	6.8%	.0%	4.0%	.0%	.0%	4.7%	2.3%	2.3%	2.3%	2.3%	2.3%	2.3%	2.3%	2.3%	2.3%	3.2%
No opinion	77.1%	64.9%	57.7%	57.7%	57.7%	57.7%	.0%	63.9%	82.8%	82.8%	65.0%	68.9%	68.9%	68.9%	68.9%	68.9%	68.9%	68.9%	68.9%	68.9%	67.4%
DK/NA	6.3%	5.0%	4.8%	4.8%	4.8%	4.8%	100.0%	6.7%	2.1%	2.1%	3.3%	7.6%	7.6%	7.6%	7.6%	7.6%	7.6%	7.6%	7.6%	7.6%	5.7%
Table Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Q. 7. Looking at a specific building, would you say that Beaver Creek's City Hall is a....	GENDER:		PARTISAN REGISTRATION:				ZIP CODE:				Table Total						
	Female		Democrat		Republican		Unaffiliated/other		45430			45431		45432		Other	
	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %		Col %	Col %				
Good facility	11.8%	10.8%	16.0%	16.0%	10.4%	9.5%	6.6%	11.5%	13.0%	13.9%	9.4%	11.3%	13.0%	13.9%	9.4%	.0%	
Adequate facility	14.9%	10.0%	16.7%	16.7%	15.9%	5.0%	8.1%	10.2%	14.2%	12.4%	18.6%	12.4%	14.2%	12.4%	18.6%	33.3%	
Poor facility	4.6%	2.0%	3.0%	3.0%	5.0%	1.0%	4.4%	3.3%	3.3%	2.7%	2.8%	2.7%	3.3%	2.7%	2.8%	.0%	
No opinion	62.6%	71.8%	61.0%	61.0%	63.7%	76.1%	69.4%	70.5%	66.7%	66.7%	62.0%	66.7%	66.7%	66.7%	62.0%	33.3%	
DK/NA	6.0%	5.5%	3.2%	3.2%	4.9%	8.3%	11.5%	4.5%	2.8%	4.4%	7.1%	4.4%	2.8%	4.4%	7.1%	33.4%	
Table Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q. 8. Generally speaking, do you think it is a good or bad idea to use city tax dollars to renovate and upgrade the City Hall building?	Q. 7. Looking at a specific building, would you say that Beaver Creek's City Hall is a....						Table Total
	Good facility		Adequate facility		Poor facility		
	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	
Good idea	32.8%	65.0%	59.9%	56.2%	35.8%	53.6%	
Bad idea	56.2%	29.6%	30.2%	26.2%	27.9%	30.2%	
DK/NA	11.0%	5.3%	9.9%	17.6%	36.3%	16.2%	
Table Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

	AGE GROUP:				HOMEOWNERSHIP STATUS:				EMPLOYMENT STATUS:				Table Total		
	18 to 44		45 to 64		65 and older		DK/NA	Own	Rent	Other	DK/NA	Yes, employed		No, not employed/homemaker	DK/NA
	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %		Col %	Col %
Q. 8. Generally speaking, do you think it is a good or bad idea to use city tax dollars to renovate and upgrade the City Hall building?	49.5%	61.4%	51.3%	.0%	51.5%	63.6%	33.6%	55.6%	50.8%	50.4%	53.6%	30.2%	16.2%	100.0%	
Good idea	31.7%	28.0%	30.9%	.0%	31.9%	23.6%	33.6%	28.4%	33.8%	.0%	30.2%	16.2%	100.0%	100.0%	
Bad idea	18.9%	10.6%	17.7%	100.0%	16.6%	12.7%	32.9%	16.0%	15.3%	49.6%	16.2%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
DK/NA	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
Table Total															

	GENDER:		PARTISAN REGISTRATION:				ZIP CODE:				Table Total			
	Male	Female	Democrat	Republican	Unaffiliated/other	45430	45431	45432	45440	Other				
	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %				
Q. 8. Generally speaking, do you think it is a good or bad idea to use city tax dollars to renovate and upgrade the City Hall building?	58.0%	49.6%	65.7%	51.7%	48.7%	53.6%	54.5%	51.8%	58.1%	33.4%	53.6%	30.2%	16.2%	100.0%
Good idea	27.9%	32.3%	24.6%	30.4%	33.4%	35.3%	24.4%	34.5%	29.6%	66.6%	30.2%	16.2%	100.0%	100.0%
Bad idea	14.1%	18.1%	9.7%	17.9%	17.9%	11.2%	21.1%	13.7%	13.7%	.0%	16.2%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
DK/NA	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Table Total														

	AGE GROUP:						HOMEOWNERSHIP STATUS:						EMPLOYMENT STATUS:				Table Total
	18 to 44		45 to 64		65 and older		DK/NA	Own	Rent	Other	DK/NA	Yes, employed	No, not employed/homemaker	DK/NA			
	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %			
Q. 9. Ensuring that storm water drainage and run-off systems are working properly, so they do not become backed-up?	24.8%	23.5%	14.1%	.0%	19.0%	34.1%	.0%	19.0%	34.1%	17.1%	23.7%	18.4%	.0%	21.2%			
Excellent	51.9%	45.6%	48.1%	.0%	48.5%	52.5%	.0%	48.5%	52.5%	17.1%	47.3%	49.7%	74.2%	48.7%			
Good	19.0%	16.9%	18.9%	100.0%	19.0%	11.2%	.0%	19.0%	11.2%	65.9%	20.7%	16.1%	.0%	18.5%			
Fair	1.9%	7.0%	8.3%	.0%	6.6%	.0%	.0%	6.6%	.0%	.0%	2.8%	9.1%	.0%	5.3%			
Poor	1.7%	.9%	.0%	.0%	1.2%	.0%	.0%	1.2%	.0%	.0%	1.6%	.0%	.0%	9.9%			
Very poor	.8%	6.1%	10.6%	.0%	5.7%	2.2%	.0%	5.7%	2.2%	.0%	3.9%	6.7%	25.8%	5.3%			
DK/NA	76.7%	69.1%	62.2%	.0%	67.5%	86.6%	.0%	67.5%	86.6%	34.1%	71.0%	68.1%	74.2%	69.9%			
CONSOLIDATED: Q. 9. Ensuring that storm water drainage and run-off systems are working properly, so they do not become backed-up?	19.0%	16.9%	18.9%	100.0%	19.0%	11.2%	.0%	19.0%	11.2%	65.9%	20.7%	16.1%	.0%	18.5%			
Excellent/good	3.5%	7.9%	8.3%	.0%	7.8%	.0%	.0%	7.8%	.0%	.0%	4.4%	9.1%	.0%	6.3%			
Fair	.8%	6.1%	10.6%	.0%	5.7%	2.2%	.0%	5.7%	2.2%	.0%	3.9%	6.7%	25.8%	5.3%			
Very poor/poor	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%			
DK/NA																	
Table Total																	

	GENDER:		PARTISAN REGISTRATION:				ZIP CODE:					Table Total
	Male Col %	Female Col %	Democrat Col %	Republican Col %	Unaffiliated/other Col %	45-430 Col %	45431 Col %	45432 Col %	45434 Col %	45440 Col %	Other Col %	
Q. 9. Ensuring that storm water drainage and run-off systems are working properly, so they do not become backed-up?	Excellent	25.5%	17.3%	21.0%	17.8%	25.8%	10.7%	23.4%	22.3%	28.4%	33.3%	19.2%
	Good	51.0%	46.5%	43.0%	49.6%	50.3%	57.2%	51.0%	46.5%	41.9%	33.4%	48.7%
	Fair	13.1%	23.5%	21.5%	18.4%	16.8%	17.0%	15.3%	22.8%	19.8%	33.3%	18.5%
	Poor	4.5%	6.1%	9.6%	5.0%	3.2%	8.8%	3.5%	5.0%	6.5%	.0%	5.3%
	Very poor	1.2%	.7%	1.7%	1.3%	.0%	.0%	1.0%	1.4%	.0%	3.5%	.0%
DK/NA	4.6%	5.9%	3.2%	7.9%	3.2%	6.3%	6.3%	5.7%	7.3%	0.0%	0.0%	5.3%
CONSOLIDATED: Q. 9. Excellent/good	76.5%	63.8%	64.1%	67.4%	76.7%	67.8%	74.4%	66.3%	68.8%	70.3%	66.7%	69.9%
Ensuring that storm water drainage and run-off systems are working properly, so they do not become backed-up?	Fair	13.1%	23.5%	21.5%	18.4%	16.9%	17.0%	15.3%	18.5%	19.8%	33.3%	18.5%
Very poor/poor	5.8%	6.7%	11.3%	6.3%	3.2%	8.8%	4.5%	6.3%	5.4%	10.0%	.0%	6.3%
DK/NA	4.6%	5.9%	3.2%	7.9%	3.2%	6.3%	6.3%	5.7%	7.3%	.0%	.0%	5.3%
Table Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

	AGE GROUP:			HOMEOWNERSHIP STATUS:			EMPLOYMENT STATUS:			Table Total		
	18 to 44 Col %	45 to 64 Col %	65 and older Col %	DK/NA Col %	Own Col %	Rent Col %	Other Col %	DK/NA Col %	Yes, employed Col %		No, not employed/homemaker Col %	
												Col %
Q. 10. Enforcing zoning ordinances, such as those for the removal of inoperable, or junked, automobiles and keeping lawns mowed?	Excellent	20.7%	22.6%	14.2%	.0%	19.3%	23.3%	.0%	.0%	22.8%	15.0%	19.4%
	Good	51.2%	45.7%	37.6%	100.0%	44.5%	45.1%	67.2%	100.0%	46.1%	44.0%	45.7%
	Fair	18.5%	20.6%	29.6%	.0%	23.4%	19.0%	17.1%	.0%	20.9%	25.1%	22.3%
	Poor	2.6%	6.0%	5.7%	.0%	5.7%	.0%	.0%	.0%	4.5%	4.0%	23.8%
	Very poor	.8%	.0%	1.2%	.0%	.8%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.5%	.9%	.0%
DK/NA	6.3%	5.1%	11.7%	.0%	6.3%	12.6%	15.8%	15.8%	.0%	5.1%	11.0%	7.4%
CONSOLIDATED: Q. 10. Excellent/good	71.9%	68.3%	51.8%	100.0%	63.8%	68.4%	67.2%	100.0%	68.9%	59.0%	76.2%	65.0%
Enforcing zoning ordinances, such as those for the removal of inoperable, or junked, automobiles and keeping lawns mowed?	Fair	18.5%	20.6%	29.6%	.0%	23.4%	19.0%	17.1%	.0%	20.9%	25.1%	22.3%
Very poor/poor	3.4%	6.0%	6.9%	.0%	6.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%	5.0%	4.9%	23.8%	5.2%
DK/NA	6.3%	5.1%	11.7%	.0%	6.3%	12.6%	15.8%	15.8%	.0%	5.1%	11.0%	7.4%
Table Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

	GENDER:		PARTISAN REGISTRATION:				ZIP CODE:						Table Total
			Democrat	Republican	Unaffiliated/other	45430	45431	45432	45434	45440	Other		
	Male Col %	Female Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	
Q. 10. Enforcing zoning ordinances, such as those for the removal of inoperable, or junked, automobiles and keeping lawns mowed?	Excellent	19.7%	19.1%	20.9%	16.0%	22.8%	22.7%	18.1%	20.4%	25.0%	19.4%	19.4%	
	Good	38.7%	52.0%	42.0%	48.5%	44.2%	38.6%	51.3%	45.4%	32.5%	33.4%	45.7%	
	Fair	26.1%	18.8%	22.8%	22.6%	21.7%	25.7%	17.1%	25.9%	26.3%	66.6%	22.3%	
	Poor	5.2%	4.0%	4.6%	5.7%	3.1%	8.6%	5.8%	1.3%	6.0%	.0%	4.6%	
	Very poor	.6%	.7%	.0%	1.4%	.0%	.0%	1.0%	1.7%	.0%	.0%	.6%	
DK/NA	9.6%	5.5%	9.7%	5.8%	8.2%	4.5%	9.1%	6.7%	7.0%	10.3%	7.4%	7.4%	
CONSOLIDATED: Q. 10. Enforcing zoning ordinances, such as those for the removal of inoperable, or junked, Table Total	Excellent/good	58.4%	71.0%	62.9%	64.5%	67.0%	61.2%	66.9%	65.8%	57.5%	33.4%	65.0%	
	Fair	26.1%	18.8%	22.8%	22.6%	21.7%	25.7%	17.1%	25.9%	26.3%	66.6%	22.3%	
	Very poor/poor	5.8%	4.6%	4.6%	7.1%	3.1%	8.6%	6.8%	4.9%	6.0%	.0%	5.2%	
	DK/NA	9.6%	5.5%	9.7%	5.8%	8.2%	4.5%	9.1%	6.7%	7.0%	10.3%	7.4%	
	Table Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

	AGE GROUP:			HOMEOWNERSHIP STATUS:				EMPLOYMENT STATUS:			Table Total	
				DK/NA	Own	Rent	Other	DK/NA	Yes, employed	No, not employed/homemaker		DK/NA
	18 to 44 Col %	45 to 64 Col %	65 and older Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %		Col %
Q. 11. Managing the City budget and tax dollars?	Excellent	6.1%	9.5%	4.6%	.0%	6.7%	8.3%	.0%	.0%	8.1%	4.1%	23.8%
	Good	40.6%	43.5%	43.6%	.0%	41.8%	46.4%	33.0%	33.6%	40.1%	46.0%	42.3%
	Fair	33.6%	29.6%	25.7%	.0%	30.7%	23.5%	49.9%	33.6%	31.6%	27.7%	29.9%
	Poor	4.3%	5.8%	5.9%	.0%	6.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%	5.5%	4.9%	.0%
	Very poor	.8%	3.1%	1.3%	.0%	2.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%	1.1%	2.6%	1.7%
DK/NA	14.6%	8.5%	18.9%	100.0%	12.3%	21.9%	17.1%	32.9%	13.5%	14.7%	25.8%	14.2%
CONSOLIDATED: Q. 11. Managing the City budget and tax dollars?	Excellent/good	46.7%	53.0%	48.2%	.0%	48.5%	54.7%	33.0%	33.6%	48.2%	50.1%	49.0%
	Fair	33.6%	29.6%	25.7%	.0%	30.7%	23.5%	49.9%	33.6%	31.6%	27.7%	29.9%
	Very poor/poor	5.1%	8.9%	7.2%	.0%	8.6%	.0%	.0%	.0%	6.6%	7.5%	.0%
	DK/NA	14.6%	8.5%	18.9%	100.0%	12.3%	21.9%	17.1%	32.9%	13.5%	14.7%	25.8%
	Table Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

	GENDER:		PARTISAN REGISTRATION:				ZIP CODE:						Table Total	
	Male Col %	Female Col %	Democrat Col %	Republican Col %	Unaffiliated/other Col %	45430		45431		45432		45434		
						Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %		Col %
Q. 11. Managing the City budget and tax dollars?	10.6%	3.2%	6.5%	7.4%	6.0%	4.4%	7.8%	7.6%	3.8%	12.6%	0%	6.7%		
Excellent	37.8%	46.3%	38.6%	46.7%	38.7%	38.9%	41.8%	38.6%	46.3%	44.4%	66.7%	42.3%		
Good	32.1%	28.0%	38.1%	26.7%	29.2%	30.3%	23.3%	37.5%	30.0%	32.5%	33.3%	29.9%		
Fair	7.2%	3.3%	1.8%	6.9%	5.0%	10.7%	4.4%	3.0%	7.0%	0%	0%	5.2%		
Poor	7.7%	2.6%	1.8%	1.4%	2.0%	0%	2.3%	0%	2.9%	3.5%	0%	1.7%		
Very poor	11.6%	16.5%	13.2%	10.9%	19.1%	15.6%	20.5%	13.2%	10.0%	7.1%	0%	14.2%		
DK/NA	48.4%	49.5%	45.1%	54.1%	44.7%	43.3%	49.6%	46.3%	50.2%	56.9%	66.7%	49.0%		
CONSOLIDATED: Q. 11. Managing the City budget and tax dollars?	32.1%	28.0%	38.1%	26.7%	29.2%	30.3%	23.3%	37.5%	30.0%	32.5%	33.3%	29.9%		
Excellent/good	7.9%	5.9%	3.6%	8.3%	7.0%	10.7%	6.7%	3.0%	9.9%	3.5%	0%	6.9%		
Fair	11.6%	16.5%	13.2%	10.9%	19.1%	15.6%	20.5%	13.2%	10.0%	7.1%	0%	14.2%		
Very poor/poor	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%		
DK/NA														
Table Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%		

	AGE GROUP:				HOMEOWNERSHIP STATUS:				EMPLOYMENT STATUS:				Table Total	
	18 to 44		45 to 64		65 and older		DK/NA Col %	Own Col %	Rent Col %	Other Col %	DK/NA Col %	Yes, employed Col %		No, not employed/homemaker Col %
	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %								
Q. 12. Repairing and maintaining street and road surfaces?	19.1%	11.8%	12.9%	0%	15.1%	17.1%	0%	18.1%	10.9%	15.0%				
Excellent	43.1%	47.3%	50.0%	100.0%	44.9%	65.9%	66.4%	45.7%	47.7%	52.1%	46.6%			
Good	29.1%	30.5%	21.4%	0%	28.3%	17.1%	33.6%	28.6%	26.1%	27.2%				
Fair	5.3%	8.2%	11.1%	0%	8.1%	0%	0%	6.4%	8.8%	47.9%				
Poor	3.4%	2.0%	3.4%	0%	3.2%	0%	0%	1.2%	5.6%	0%				
Very poor	0%	0%	1.2%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%				
DK/NA	62.2%	59.2%	62.9%	100.0%	60.0%	82.9%	66.4%	63.8%	58.6%	52.1%	61.6%			
CONSOLIDATED: Q. 12. Repairing and maintaining street and road surfaces?	29.1%	30.5%	21.4%	0%	28.3%	17.1%	33.6%	28.6%	26.1%	27.2%				
Excellent/good	8.7%	10.3%	14.5%	0%	11.3%	0%	0%	7.5%	14.4%	10.9%				
Fair	0%	0%	1.2%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%				
Very poor/poor	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%		
DK/NA														
Table Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%		

	GENDER:		PARTISAN REGISTRATION:				ZIP CODE:					Table Total
	Male Col %	Female Col %	Democrat Col %	Republican Col %	Unaffiliated/other Col %	45430 Col %	45431 Col %	45432 Col %	45434 Col %	45440 Col %	Other Col %	
Q. 12. Repairing and maintaining street and road surfaces?	13.6%	16.2%	19.5%	10.4%	18.1%	9.7%	15.5%	12.9%	19.4%	16.7%	.0%	15.0%
Excellent	49.2%	44.3%	40.2%	53.6%	41.4%	43.2%	47.4%	48.7%	50.4%	40.9%	.0%	46.6%
Good	27.8%	26.7%	27.5%	25.9%	28.9%	34.2%	32.4%	25.3%	18.2%	23.1%	66.7%	27.2%
Fair	6.1%	9.5%	11.1%	5.1%	9.6%	8.5%	2.4%	11.4%	9.2%	10.1%	33.3%	7.9%
Poor	3.3%	2.6%	1.7%	4.2%	2.1%	4.5%	2.3%	1.7%	2.8%	5.8%	.0%	3.0%
Very poor	.0%	.7%	.0%	.8%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	3.4%	.0%	.3%
DK/NA	62.8%	60.5%	59.7%	64.0%	59.5%	52.9%	62.9%	61.6%	69.8%	57.6%	.0%	61.6%
CONSOLIDATED: Q. 12. Repairing and maintaining street and road surfaces?	27.8%	26.7%	27.5%	25.9%	28.9%	34.2%	32.4%	25.3%	18.2%	23.1%	66.7%	27.2%
Excellent/good	9.4%	12.2%	12.8%	9.4%	11.6%	12.9%	4.7%	13.0%	12.0%	15.9%	33.3%	10.9%
Fair	.0%	.7%	.0%	.8%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	3.4%	.0%	.3%
Very poor/poor	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Table Total												

	AGE GROUP:				HOMEOWNERSHIP STATUS:				EMPLOYMENT STATUS:				Table Total
	18 to 44 Col %	45 to 64 Col %	65 and older Col %	DK/NA Col %	Own Col %	Rent Col %	Other Col %	DK/NA Col %	Yes, employed Col %	No, not employed/homemaker Col %	DK/NA Col %		
												Col %	
Q. 13. Providing police protection and law enforcement services?	36.6%	36.3%	32.9%	.0%	36.5%	32.1%	17.1%	32.9%	38.6%	30.1%	49.6%	35.3%	
Excellent	49.9%	46.1%	57.5%	100.0%	50.6%	56.8%	33.0%	33.6%	48.5%	54.7%	50.4%	51.0%	
Good	10.9%	15.5%	7.3%	.0%	10.9%	6.6%	49.9%	33.6%	11.2%	11.7%	.0%	11.3%	
Fair	1.0%	.0%	1.1%	.0%	.4%	2.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%	1.7%	.0%	.7%	
Poor	.8%	1.0%	.0%	.0%	.8%	.0%	.0%	.0%	1.1%	.0%	.0%	.6%	
Very poor	.9%	1.1%	1.2%	.0%	.9%	2.2%	.0%	.0%	.6%	1.7%	.0%	1.1%	
DK/NA	86.4%	82.4%	90.4%	100.0%	87.1%	88.9%	50.1%	66.4%	87.1%	84.9%	100.0%	86.3%	
CONSOLIDATED: Q. 13. Providing police protection and law enforcement services?	10.9%	15.5%	7.3%	.0%	10.9%	6.6%	49.9%	33.6%	11.2%	11.7%	.0%	11.3%	
Excellent/good	1.8%	1.0%	1.1%	.0%	1.2%	2.3%	.0%	.0%	1.1%	1.7%	.0%	1.3%	
Fair	.9%	1.1%	1.2%	.0%	.9%	2.2%	.0%	.0%	.6%	1.7%	.0%	1.1%	
Very poor/poor	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
DK/NA													
Table Total													

	GENDER:		PARTISAN REGISTRATION:				ZIP CODE:						Table Total
	Male		Democrat	Republican	Unaffiliated/other	45430	45431	45432	45434	45440	Other		
	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %		
Q. 13. Providing police protection and law enforcement services?	Excellent	35.6%	35.1%	32.4%	37.4%	35.7%	30.2%	36.8%	41.6%	35.4%	.0%	35.3%	
	Good	53.6%	48.6%	53.9%	41.5%	55.6%	55.5%	48.4%	45.4%	45.0%	100.0%	51.0%	
	Fair	9.5%	12.9%	10.6%	19.0%	6.6%	10.8%	14.8%	13.0%	9.4%	0%	11.3%	
	Poor	.7%	.7%	.0%	1.1%	.0%	1.1%	.0%	.0%	3.7%	.0%	.7%	
	Very poor	.6%	.6%	1.5%	.7%	2.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%	3.0%	.0%	.6%	
DK/NA	.0%	2.0%	1.7%	1.0%	.0%	2.4%	.0%	.0%	3.5%	.0%	1.1%		
CONSOLIDATED: Q. 13. Providing police protection and law enforcement services?	Excellent/good	89.2%	83.7%	86.2%	78.9%	91.3%	85.7%	85.2%	87.0%	80.4%	100.0%	86.3%	
	Fair	9.5%	12.9%	10.6%	19.0%	6.6%	10.8%	14.8%	13.0%	9.4%	.0%	11.3%	
	Very poor/poor	1.3%	1.3%	1.5%	1.1%	2.2%	1.1%	.0%	.0%	6.7%	.0%	1.3%	
	DK/NA	.0%	2.0%	1.7%	1.0%	.0%	2.4%	.0%	.0%	3.5%	.0%	1.1%	
	Table Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

	AGE GROUP:			HOMEOWNERSHIP STATUS:			EMPLOYMENT STATUS:			Table Total		
	18 to 44	45 to 64	65 and older	DK/NA	Own	Rent	Other	DK/NA	Yes, employed		No, not employed/homemaker	
	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %		Col %	
Q. 14. Providing snow and ice removal services?	Excellent	20.7%	21.4%	21.1%	.0%	20.1%	17.1%	.0%	20.4%	22.5%	.0%	21.0%
	Good	46.2%	50.3%	53.6%	100.0%	49.8%	44.4%	82.9%	66.4%	50.9%	75.9%	49.8%
	Fair	23.4%	19.0%	16.8%	.0%	21.0%	17.0%	.0%	33.6%	22.0%	24.1%	20.0%
	Poor	4.3%	7.0%	3.8%	.0%	5.3%	4.4%	.0%	.0%	4.9%	5.2%	5.0%
	Very poor	3.5%	1.1%	2.3%	.0%	3.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	2.4%	2.5%	2.4%
DK/NA	1.8%	1.1%	2.4%	.0%	.9%	6.6%	.0%	.0%	1.8%	1.8%	1.8%	
CONSOLIDATED: Q. 14. Providing snow and ice removal services?	Excellent/good	66.9%	71.7%	74.7%	100.0%	69.8%	71.9%	100.0%	66.4%	68.9%	73.3%	70.8%
	Fair	23.4%	19.0%	16.8%	.0%	21.0%	17.0%	.0%	33.6%	22.0%	24.1%	20.0%
	Very poor/poor	7.9%	8.1%	6.1%	.0%	8.3%	4.4%	.0%	.0%	7.3%	7.8%	7.4%
	DK/NA	1.8%	1.1%	2.4%	.0%	.9%	6.6%	.0%	.0%	1.8%	1.8%	1.8%
	Table Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

	GENDER:		PARTISAN REGISTRATION:				ZIP CODE:						Table Total	
			Democrat	Republican	Unaffiliated/other	45430		45431		45432		45434		
	Male	Female	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %		Col %
Q. 14. Providing snow and ice removal services?	Excellent	22.7%	19.4%	19.4%	23.0%	23.4%	17.9%	20.3%	28.7%	28.7%	20.3%	28.7%	21.0%	
	Good	50.3%	49.4%	51.1%	58.7%	52.3%	60.5%	44.7%	32.2%	66.7%	32.2%	66.7%	49.8%	
	Fair	18.3%	21.6%	23.0%	14.0%	13.8%	16.6%	22.2%	35.3%	22.2%	35.3%	22.2%	20.0%	
	Poor	6.0%	4.1%	1.5%	4.2%	5.6%	1.7%	8.5%	3.7%	33.3%	3.7%	33.3%	5.0%	
	Very poor	1.4%	3.4%	3.2%	2.2%	1.3%	1.7%	2.8%	0.0%	0.0%	2.8%	0.0%	2.4%	
DK/NA	1.4%	2.1%	1.8%	2.7%	3.6%	1.7%	1.6%	0.0%	0.0%	1.6%	0.0%	1.8%		
CONSOLIDATED: Q. 14. Providing snow and ice removal services?	Excellent/good	73.0%	68.8%	70.5%	78.8%	75.7%	78.4%	65.0%	61.0%	66.7%	65.0%	66.7%	70.8%	
	Fair	18.3%	21.6%	23.0%	14.0%	13.8%	16.6%	22.2%	35.3%	22.2%	35.3%	22.2%	20.0%	
	Very poor/poor	7.3%	7.5%	4.7%	6.4%	6.9%	3.3%	11.3%	3.7%	33.3%	3.7%	33.3%	7.4%	
	DK/NA	1.4%	2.1%	1.8%	2.7%	3.6%	1.7%	1.6%	0.0%	0.0%	1.6%	0.0%	1.8%	
	Table Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

	AGE GROUP:				HOMEOWNERSHIP STATUS:				EMPLOYMENT STATUS:				Table Total	
	18 to 44		45 to 64		65 and older		DK/NA	Own	Rent	Other	DK/NA	Yes, employed		No, not employed/homemaker
	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %		Col %
Q. 15. Providing parks and offering recreation services?	Excellent	37.2%	28.7%	33.0%	33.5%	31.7%	33.0%	32.9%	32.9%	33.8%	32.9%	33.8%	33.2%	
	Good	39.8%	50.8%	46.2%	44.6%	46.8%	67.0%	33.6%	45.7%	45.3%	45.7%	45.3%	45.3%	
	Fair	18.7%	13.1%	12.5%	14.8%	17.2%	0.0%	33.6%	17.4%	23.8%	17.4%	11.5%	15.1%	
	Poor	3.4%	3.1%	3.5%	3.7%	2.1%	0.0%	0.0%	2.9%	3.4%	2.9%	3.4%	3.4%	
	Very poor	0.8%	2.0%	1.3%	1.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.1%	1.8%	1.1%	1.8%	1.3%	
DK/NA	0.0%	2.2%	3.6%	1.7%	2.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	4.2%	0.0%	0.0%		
CONSOLIDATED: Q. 15. Providing parks and offering recreation services?	Excellent/good	77.0%	79.6%	79.2%	78.1%	78.5%	100.0%	66.4%	78.7%	79.1%	78.7%	79.1%	78.5%	
	Fair	18.7%	13.1%	12.5%	14.8%	17.2%	0.0%	33.6%	17.4%	23.8%	17.4%	11.5%	15.1%	
	Very poor/poor	4.3%	5.2%	4.8%	5.4%	2.1%	0.0%	0.0%	3.9%	24.1%	3.9%	5.2%	4.7%	
	DK/NA	0.0%	2.2%	3.6%	1.7%	2.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	4.2%	0.0%	4.2%	1.7%	
	Table Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

	GENDER:		PARTISAN REGISTRATION:				ZIP CODE:						Table Total			
			Democrat	Republican	Unaffiliated/other	45430		45431		45432		45434		45440		
	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %		Col %	Col %	Col %
Q. 15. Providing parks and offering recreation services?	Excellent	29.3%	36.7%	29.4%	34.5%	33.8%	26.7%	33.8%	33.8%	27.9%	38.9%	38.9%	38.9%	33.3%	33.2%	
	Good	48.3%	42.5%	44.6%	47.8%	42.4%	49.0%	41.5%	50.0%	50.0%	46.8%	35.7%	66.7%	45.3%		
	Fair	16.3%	14.0%	19.1%	12.0%	16.7%	19.6%	16.7%	17.2%	17.2%	5.8%	22.6%	0%	15.1%		
	Poor	4.1%	2.7%	3.3%	2.8%	4.1%	0%	4.5%	4.5%	3.2%	5.8%	0%	0%	3.4%		
	Very poor	1.6%	2.0%	1.8%	1.4%	1.0%	2.2%	0%	1.7%	1.7%	1.6%	2.8%	0%	1.3%		
DK/NA	1.4%	2.1%	1.8%	1.5%	2.0%	2.5%	3.5%	3.5%	0%	1.4%	0%	0%	1.7%			
CONSOLIDATED: Q. 15. Providing parks and offering recreation services?	Excellent/good	77.7%	79.2%	74.1%	82.3%	76.2%	75.7%	75.3%	77.9%	85.4%	74.6%	100.0%	78.5%			
	Fair	16.3%	14.0%	19.1%	12.0%	16.7%	19.6%	16.7%	17.2%	5.8%	22.6%	0%	15.1%			
	Very poor/poor	4.7%	4.7%	5.1%	4.2%	5.1%	2.2%	4.5%	4.9%	7.3%	2.8%	0%	4.7%			
	DK/NA	1.4%	2.1%	1.8%	1.5%	2.0%	2.5%	3.5%	0%	1.4%	0%	0%	1.7%			
	Table Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%			

	AGE GROUP:			HOMEOWNERSHIP STATUS:				EMPLOYMENT STATUS:			Table Total	
				DK/NA	Own	Rent	Other	DK/NA	Yes, employed	No, not employed/homemaker		DK/NA
	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %		Col %
Q. 16. Communicating with businesses, city residents and homeowners?	18 to 44	13.4%	9.7%	9.8%	0%	11.6%	8.6%	17.1%	0%	14.9%	6.0%	11.1%
	45 to 64	50.3%	43.4%	44.7%	0%	45.6%	50.6%	50.1%	33.6%	45.7%	46.4%	46.4%
	65 and older	25.0%	27.8%	28.1%	100.0%	28.3%	21.5%	17.1%	33.6%	23.7%	32.7%	27.0%
	Poor	8.8%	9.1%	5.7%	0%	7.2%	13.1%	0%	0%	9.1%	6.6%	7.9%
	Very poor	1.8%	2.9%	0%	0%	1.5%	0%	0%	0%	2.1%	0%	1.2%
DK/NA	1.8%	7.2%	11.7%	0%	5.7%	6.3%	15.8%	32.9%	4.5%	8.3%	6.3%	
CONSOLIDATED: Q. 16. Communicating with businesses, city residents and homeowners?	Excellent/good	63.6%	53.1%	54.5%	0%	57.2%	59.2%	67.2%	33.6%	60.6%	52.4%	57.5%
	Fair	25.0%	27.8%	28.1%	100.0%	28.3%	21.5%	17.1%	33.6%	23.7%	32.7%	27.0%
	Very poor/poor	9.6%	11.9%	5.7%	0%	8.8%	13.1%	0%	0%	11.2%	6.6%	9.2%
	DK/NA	1.8%	7.2%	11.7%	0%	5.7%	6.3%	15.8%	32.9%	4.5%	8.3%	6.3%
	Table Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

	GENDER:		PARTISAN REGISTRATION:				ZIP CODE:						Table Total
	Male	Female	Democrat	Republican	Unaffiliated/other	45430	45431	45432	45434	45440	Other	Col %	
	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	
Q. 16. Communicating with businesses, city residents and homeowners?	12.1%	10.2%	11.8%	8.1%	14.7%	13.3%	11.5%	6.6%	13.3%	12.2%	.0%	11.1%	
Excellent	43.2%	49.2%	48.1%	48.1%	43.0%	33.4%	40.0%	59.9%	49.9%	52.1%	.0%	46.4%	
Good	25.8%	28.1%	24.6%	28.2%	27.0%	32.6%	28.0%	25.3%	21.2%	29.1%	66.6%	27.0%	
Fair	10.8%	5.4%	7.7%	6.3%	10.3%	10.0%	12.6%	5.0%	7.3%	.0%	.0%	7.9%	
Poor	1.2%	1.2%	1.5%	2.0%	.0%	2.2%	2.0%	.0%	1.3%	.0%	.0%	1.2%	
Very poor	6.8%	5.9%	6.3%	7.3%	5.1%	8.5%	5.8%	3.2%	7.0%	6.6%	33.4%	6.3%	
DK/NA	55.4%	59.4%	59.9%	56.2%	57.7%	46.8%	51.5%	66.5%	63.2%	64.3%	.0%	57.5%	
CONSOLIDATED: Q. 16. Communicating with businesses, city residents and homeowners?	25.8%	28.1%	24.6%	28.2%	27.0%	32.6%	28.0%	25.3%	21.2%	29.1%	66.6%	27.0%	
Excellent/good	12.0%	6.6%	9.2%	8.3%	10.3%	12.1%	14.7%	5.0%	8.6%	.0%	.0%	9.2%	
Fair	6.8%	5.9%	6.3%	7.3%	5.1%	8.5%	5.8%	3.2%	7.0%	6.6%	33.4%	6.3%	
Very poor/poor	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
DK/NA	Table Total												

	AGE GROUP:			HOMEOWNERSHIP STATUS:				EMPLOYMENT STATUS:			Table Total	
	18 to 44	45 to 64	65 and older	DK/NA	Own	Rent	Other	DK/NA	Yes, employed	No, not employed/homeowner	DK/NA	
	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	
Q. 17. How do you get most of your information about Beaver Creek city government?	17.6%	2.0%	2.5%	.0%	7.1%	10.8%	34.1%	.0%	10.7%	5.0%	.0%	8.3%
Viewing social media postings	9.9%	17.0%	18.5%	.0%	15.2%	10.3%	16.0%	33.6%	11.8%	19.3%	.0%	14.6%
Reading the newspaper	4.3%	1.9%	8.3%	.0%	4.2%	8.0%	.0%	.0%	2.9%	6.7%	24.1%	4.7%
Watching Channel 5 and 99's programming	13.5%	10.3%	14.0%	.0%	10.0%	23.7%	32.8%	.0%	11.1%	15.1%	.0%	12.6%
Talking with friends and neighbors	33.8%	52.0%	37.8%	100.0%	46.9%	16.9%	.0%	33.6%	45.2%	35.1%	26.3%	40.9%
Reading the City's newsletter, In Touch	6.6%	3.9%	.0%	.0%	3.4%	6.9%	.0%	.0%	4.8%	2.6%	.0%	3.8%
Visiting the City's website	9.8%	4.9%	9.4%	.0%	7.0%	13.0%	.0%	32.9%	8.0%	7.6%	25.8%	8.1%
All/combination	4.4%	6.8%	8.5%	.0%	5.3%	10.4%	17.1%	.0%	4.4%	8.5%	23.8%	6.3%
Other	.0%	1.1%	1.1%	.0%	.8%	.0%	.0%	.0%	1.2%	.0%	.0%	.7%
DK/NA	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Table Total												

Q. 17 How do you get most of your information about Beavercreek city government?	GENDER:		PARTISAN REGISTRATION:				ZIP CODE:				Table Total	
	Male Col %	Female Col %	Democrat Col %	Republican Col %	Unaffiliated/other Col %	45430 Col %	45431 Col %	45432 Col %	45434 Col %	45440 Col %		Other Col %
	8.2%	8.3%	3.5%	5.6%	14.7%	8.8%	9.5%	6.9%	6.1%	9.2%		33.4%
19.4%	10.3%	21.0%	11.1%	15.4%	13.2%	15.1%	15.5%	10.1%	26.0%	.0%	14.8%	
4.8%	4.6%	8.2%	5.1%	2.0%	2.1%	2.4%	9.7%	4.3%	6.4%	.0%	4.7%	
13.1%	12.1%	9.7%	10.1%	17.7%	9.0%	17.5%	13.3%	12.9%	2.8%	.0%	12.6%	
37.9%	43.6%	43.0%	50.5%	26.9%	57.9%	32.0%	35.3%	50.0%	28.8%	66.6%	40.9%	
2.6%	4.9%	1.5%	1.3%	8.6%	4.5%	6.2%	1.4%	1.3%	7.4%	.0%	3.8%	
7.4%	8.7%	3.1%	9.4%	9.4%	4.5%	8.4%	11.3%	7.9%	7.1%	.0%	8.1%	
5.8%	6.8%	10.0%	6.2%	4.3%	.0%	7.8%	6.7%	5.9%	12.3%	.0%	6.3%	
.7%	.7%	.0%	.7%	1.0%	.0%	1.1%	.0%	1.5%	.0%	.0%	.7%	
100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q. 18 In the future, would you prefer to receive this newsletter through email, over the internet or the U.S. mail, delivered by the postal service?	AGE GROUP:			HOMEOWNERSHIP STATUS:			EMPLOYMENT STATUS:			Table Total	
	18 to 44 Col %	45 to 64 Col %	65 and older Col %	DK/NA Col %	Own Col %	Rent Col %	Other Col %	DK/NA Col %	Yes, employed Col %		No, not employed/homemaker Col %
	37.0%	26.7%	18.5%	.0%	28.2%	30.0%	17.1%	33.6%	34.3%		19.0%
54.8%	65.3%	80.2%	100.0%	68.5%	55.8%	33.0%	66.4%	58.8%	76.0%	49.9%	65.6%
5.4%	6.0%	1.3%	.0%	2.9%	10.3%	15.8%	.0%	5.2%	3.4%	.0%	4.4%
2.8%	1.9%	.0%	.0%	.4%	3.9%	34.1%	.0%	1.7%	1.7%	.0%	1.7%
100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Q. 18 in the future, would you prefer to receive this newsletter through email, over the internet or the U.S. mail, delivered by the postal service?	GENDER:		PARTISAN REGISTRATION:				ZIP CODE:				Table Total	
	Male Col %	Female Col %	Democrat Col %	Republican Col %	Unaffiliated/other Col %	45430 Col %	45431 Col %	45432 Col %	45434 Col %	45440 Col %		Other Col %
	34.1%	23.0%	30.1%	22.8%	34.4%	34.4%	38.6%	17.4%	18.1%	35.4%		33.3%
59.2%	71.5%	65.1%	74.5%	54.2%	61.8%	54.4%	75.9%	77.2%	58.3%	33.3%	65.6%	
4.7%	4.1%	4.8%	2.0%	7.2%	3.8%	3.5%	6.7%	3.1%	6.2%	.0%	4.4%	
2.0%	1.4%	.0%	.6%	4.2%	.0%	3.4%	.0%	1.6%	.0%	33.4%	1.7%	
100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

	AGE GROUP:				HOMEOWNERSHIP STATUS:				EMPLOYMENT STATUS:			Table Total
	18 to 44	45 to 64	65 and older	DK/NA	Own	Rent	Other	DK/NA	Yes, employed	No, not employed/homemaker	DK/NA	
	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	
Q. 19. Do you think it is a good or bad idea for the City of Beavercreek, Township and Township Park District to form a single agency in order to maintain, manage and operate the local parks used by city and township residents?	80.6%	80.2%	67.5%	.0%	75.0%	83.2%	84.2%	67.1%	79.1%	72.6%	74.2%	76.4%
Good idea												
Bad idea	7.8%	9.8%	11.6%	.0%	10.1%	6.3%	15.8%	.0%	8.2%	11.7%	.0%	9.5%
DK/NA	11.7%	10.0%	20.9%	100.0%	15.0%	10.6%	.0%	32.9%	12.7%	15.8%	25.8%	14.1%
Table Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

	GENDER:		PARTISAN REGISTRATION:			ZIP CODE:			Table Total			
	Male	Female	Democrat	Republican	Unaffiliated/other	45430	45431	45432				
	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %				
Q. 19. Do you think it is a good or bad idea for the City of Beavercreek, Township and Township Park District to form a single agency in order to maintain, manage and operate the local parks used by city and township residents?	71.7%	80.7%	82.6%	72.8%	77.4%	76.6%	75.9%	82.5%	75.0%	72.7%	33.3%	76.4%
Good idea												
Bad idea	12.7%	6.6%	4.7%	12.0%	9.2%	10.6%	10.2%	3.0%	15.2%	6.9%	.0%	9.5%
DK/NA	15.6%	12.8%	12.6%	15.3%	13.5%	12.9%	13.9%	14.4%	9.8%	20.4%	66.7%	14.1%
Table Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

	AGE GROUP:				HOMEOWNERSHIP STATUS:				EMPLOYMENT STATUS:				Table Total
	18 to 44	45 to 64	65 and older	DK/NA	Own	Rent	Other	DK/NA	Yes, employed	No, not employed/homemaker	DK/NA	Col %	
	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	
Q. 20. Generally speaking, do you think it is a good or bad idea to consolidate some services that are currently provided by both the City of Beavercreek and the Township, in order to reduce costs?	87.1%	83.4%	81.3%	.0%	84.6%	80.1%	100.0%	67.1%	88.4%	78.0%	74.2%	84.0%	
Good idea													
Bad idea	5.5%	9.7%	7.2%	.0%	7.8%	6.4%	.0%	.0%	7.2%	7.7%	.0%	7.3%	
DK/NA	7.4%	6.9%	11.5%	100.0%	7.7%	13.4%	.0%	32.9%	4.4%	14.3%	25.8%	8.7%	
Table Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

	GENDER:		PARTISAN REGISTRATION:				ZIP CODE:				Table Total
	Male	Female	Democrat	Republican	Unaffiliated/other	45430	45431	45432	45434	Other	
	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	
Q. 20. Generally speaking, do you think it is a good or bad idea to consolidate some services that are currently provided by both the City of Beavercreek and the Township, in order to reduce costs?	85.7%	82.5%	91.9%	81.0%	83.1%	88.8%	80.2%	89.1%	83.6%	33.3%	84.0%
Good idea											
Bad idea	6.5%	8.0%	3.4%	8.4%	8.3%	2.2%	9.5%	3.0%	8.0%	16.6%	7.3%
DK/NA	7.9%	9.5%	4.8%	10.6%	8.6%	9.0%	10.3%	7.9%	8.5%	.0%	8.7%
Table Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

	AGE GROUP:				HOMEOWNERSHIP STATUS:				EMPLOYMENT STATUS:				Table Total	
	18 to 44		45 to 64		65 and older		DK/NA	Own	Rent	Other	DK/NA	Yes, employed		No, not employed/homemaker
	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %								
Q. 21. If the City forms an electric and gas service aggregation program, in order to offer residents lower rates for their household electricity services, do you think that your household will participate?	61.6%	59.1%	63.0%	.0%	63.3%	59.9%	17.1%	.0%	66.5%	15.8%	17.2%	55.2%	.0%	61.0%
Yes	15.1%	16.3%	12.4%	.0%	11.8%	22.9%	50.1%	33.6%	13.6%	15.8%	26.3%	15.8%	26.3%	14.6%
No	15.8%	15.7%	14.0%	100.0%	16.7%	10.4%	.0%	32.9%	12.9%	17.2%	73.7%	17.2%	73.7%	15.5%
Maybe	1.0%	1.1%	1.3%	.0%	.0%	6.8%	.0%	.0%	.0%	2.7%	.0%	2.7%	.0%	1.1%
Rent/have landlord/other type of dwelling	6.5%	7.9%	9.4%	.0%	8.3%	.0%	32.8%	33.6%	7.0%	9.0%	.0%	9.0%	.0%	7.7%
DK/NA	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Table Total														

	GENDER:		PARTISAN REGISTRATION:				ZIP CODE:				Table Total				
	Male		Female		Democrat		Republican		Unaffiliated/other			45430	45431	45432	Other
	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %					
Q. 21. If the City forms an electric and gas service aggregation program, in order to offer residents lower rates for their household electricity services, do you think that your household will participate?	60.7%	61.3%	57.4%	62.5%	61.3%	66.2%	53.8%	64.8%	64.7%	56.9%	66.6%	61.0%	61.0%	61.0%	
Yes	18.2%	11.4%	14.0%	12.0%	18.5%	6.6%	21.5%	8.6%	13.9%	19.4%	33.4%	14.6%	14.6%	14.6%	
No	14.4%	15.5%	15.5%	18.5%	11.6%	21.3%	14.2%	18.2%	11.2%	16.5%	.0%	15.5%	15.5%	15.5%	
Maybe	.0%	2.1%	1.8%	.0%	2.1%	.0%	2.5%	1.8%	.0%	.0%	.0%	1.1%	1.1%	1.1%	
Rent/have landlord/other type of dwelling	6.7%	8.7%	11.2%	7.1%	6.5%	6.0%	8.0%	6.6%	10.1%	7.2%	.0%	7.7%	7.7%	7.7%	
DK/NA	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
Table Total															

		Q. 21. If the City forms an electric and gas service aggregation program, in order to offer residents lower rates for their household electricity services, do you think that your household will participate?				Table Total
		Yes	No	Maybe	Rent/have landlord/other type of dwelling	DK/NA
		Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %
Q. 22. Generally speaking, how familiar are you with the concept of aggregation of utilities for services like electricity and natural gas?	Very	8.1%	16.1%	1.8%	.0%	17.3%
	Somewhat	57.7%	47.3%	59.2%	.0%	22.0%
	Not	33.1%	36.5%	39.0%	100.0%	60.7%
	DK/NA	1.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
Table Total		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

		AGE GROUP:				HOMEOWNERSHIP STATUS:				EMPLOYMENT STATUS:				Table Total	
		18 to 44	45 to 64	65 and older	DK/NA	Own	Rent	Other	DK/NA	Yes, employed	No, not employed/homemaker	DK/NA	Col %	Col %	Col %
		Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %
Q. 22. Generally speaking, how familiar are you with the concept of aggregation of utilities for services like electricity and natural gas?	Very	7.0%	9.1%	11.3%	.0%	9.8%	4.3%	.0%	33.6%	7.9%	10.7%	.0%	10.7%	8.9%	
	Somewhat	48.9%	66.0%	43.9%	100.0%	54.8%	48.4%	17.1%	66.4%	55.9%	47.2%	100.0%	47.2%	53.0%	
	Not	43.2%	24.8%	43.5%	.0%	34.6%	47.3%	82.9%	.0%	35.0%	42.1%	.0%	42.1%	37.4%	
	DK/NA	.8%	.0%	1.3%	.0%	.9%	.0%	.0%	.0%	1.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.7%	
Table Total		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

		GENDER:				PARTISAN REGISTRATION:				ZIP CODE:				Table Total	
		Male	Female	Democrat	Republican	Unaffiliated/other	45430	45431	45432	45434	Other	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %
		Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %
Q. 22. Generally speaking, how familiar are you with the concept of aggregation of utilities for services like electricity and natural gas?	Very	14.2%	4.1%	6.2%	8.3%	11.3%	8.5%	6.8%	6.8%	12.5%	12.3%	.0%	12.3%	8.9%	
	Somewhat	55.6%	50.7%	54.1%	49.5%	57.0%	63.8%	46.7%	51.3%	51.3%	61.2%	66.6%	61.2%	53.0%	
	Not	29.5%	44.5%	36.4%	42.2%	31.7%	25.5%	46.5%	41.9%	34.6%	26.5%	33.4%	34.6%	37.4%	
	DK/NA	.7%	.7%	3.3%	.0%	.0%	2.2%	.0%	.0%	1.6%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.7%	
Table Total		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q. 23. Prior to this survey, were you aware that Beavercreek has one of the lowest tax rates among cities in Montgomery or Greene Counties?	AGE GROUP:				HOMEOWNERSHIP STATUS:				EMPLOYMENT STATUS:				Table Total		
	18 to 44		45 to 64		65 and older		DK/NA	Own	Rent	Other	DK/NA	Yes, employed		No, not employed/homemaker	DK/NA
	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %		Col %	Col %
Yes, aware	52.3%	57.3%	67.0%	100.0%	62.1%	37.7%	51.2%	100.0%	61.9%	55.4%	75.9%	58.3%			
No, not aware	46.8%	39.8%	29.6%	.0%	35.1%	62.3%	48.8%	.0%	43.1%	35.6%	.0%	39.5%			
DK/NA	.8%	2.9%	3.5%	.0%	2.8%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	1.6%	2.5%	24.1%	2.3%			
Table Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%		

Q. 23. Prior to this survey, were you aware that Beavercreek has one of the lowest tax rates among cities in Montgomery or Greene Counties?	GENDER:	PARTISAN REGISTRATION:				ZIP CODE:				Table Total						
		Democrat		Republican		Unaffiliated/other		45430			45431		45432		Other	
		Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %		Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %
Yes, aware	54.3%	61.9%	59.5%	63.8%	50.4%	52.0%	51.3%	55.6%	70.3%	64.2%	66.6%	58.3%				
No, not aware	42.4%	36.7%	34.1%	34.2%	49.6%	48.0%	48.7%	38.2%	25.7%	35.8%	33.4%	39.5%				
DK/NA	3.3%	1.3%	6.4%	2.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%	6.3%	4.0%	.0%	.0%	2.3%				
Table Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%			

Q. 24. As costs continue to increase and more operate in the future, do you think that the City should seek a tax levy from voters, in order to preserve its existing programs and services or make the cuts and reductions...	AGE GROUP:				HOMEOWNERSHIP STATUS:				EMPLOYMENT STATUS:				Table Total		
	18 to 44		45 to 64		65 and older		DK/NA	Own	Rent	Other	DK/NA	Yes, employed		No, not employed/homemaker	DK/NA
	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %		Col %	Col %
Seek a tax levy	53.0%	35.3%	40.0%	.0%	40.0%	58.3%	67.2%	33.6%	43.5%	44.2%	24.1%	43.5%			
Make cuts	37.4%	47.2%	45.9%	100.0%	46.3%	29.8%	32.8%	32.9%	44.0%	42.6%	25.8%	43.2%			
Both/combination	6.0%	9.7%	2.3%	.0%	6.0%	5.8%	.0%	33.6%	7.1%	4.0%	26.3%	6.1%			
DK/NA	3.6%	7.8%	11.8%	.0%	7.8%	6.2%	.0%	.0%	5.5%	9.3%	23.8%	7.3%			
Table Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%		

	GENDER:		PARTISAN REGISTRATION:				ZIP CODE:				Table Total	
	Male	Female	Democrat	Republican	Unaffiliated/other	45430	45431	45432	45434	45440		Other
	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %		Col %
Q. 24. As costs continue to increase and more money is needed to operate in the future, do you think that the City, should seek a tax levy from voters, in order to preserve its existing programs and services or make the cuts and reductions...	38.5%	48.0%	53.4%	32.7%	51.7%	48.6%	43.9%	43.3%	41.8%	40.4%	33.3%	43.5%
Make cuts	44.9%	41.5%	32.2%	52.0%	38.3%	36.4%	44.8%	42.5%	43.5%	46.6%	66.7%	43.2%
Both/combi	7.9%	4.4%	2.9%	7.5%	6.1%	8.7%	5.7%	6.0%	6.4%	3.2%	.0%	6.1%
DK/NA	8.7%	6.0%	11.6%	7.8%	3.9%	6.3%	5.6%	8.2%	8.3%	9.8%	.0%	7.3%
Table Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

	Q. 24. As costs continue to increase and more money is needed to operate in the future, do you think that the City, should seek a tax levy from voters, in order to preserve its existing programs and services or make the cuts and reductions...			Table Total
	Seek a tax levy	Make cuts	Both/combi	
	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %
Q. 25. Supposing that the City does seek additional tax revenue within the next few years, would you prefer that it be an earned income tax, which is charged only on work wages or a property tax, which is charged only to property owners?	67.0%	57.3%	30.4%	50.8%
Earned income tax	24.7%	29.0%	27.0%	21.2%
Property tax	4.6%	.8%	31.7%	9.2%
Mixed/some of both	2.1%	11.5%	.0%	4.2%
Neither	1.5%	1.5%	10.9%	14.5%
DK/NA	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Table Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

	AGE GROUP:				HOMEOWNERSHIP STATUS:				EMPLOYMENT STATUS:				Table Total
	18 to 44	45 to 64	65 and older	DK/NA	Own	Rent	Other	DK/NA	Yes, employed	No, not employed/homemaker	DK/NA	Col %	
	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	
Q. 25. Supposing that the City does seek additional tax revenue within the next few years, would you prefer that it be an earned income tax, which is charged only on work wages or a property tax, which is charged only to property owners?	55.6%	58.6%	66.1%	.0%	63.0%	44.5%	50.1%	33.6%	54.4%	67.0%	47.9%	59.4%	
Earned income tax	33.1%	24.5%	18.7%	100.0%	22.5%	44.8%	49.9%	.0%	31.2%	20.5%	.0%	26.5%	
Property tax	4.3%	5.0%	5.6%	.0%	4.8%	4.2%	.0%	33.6%	4.4%	4.9%	26.3%	4.9%	
Mixed/some of both	4.2%	8.1%	6.9%	.0%	6.8%	2.1%	.0%	32.9%	6.7%	4.9%	25.8%	6.2%	
Neither	2.7%	3.8%	2.5%	.0%	2.8%	4.4%	.0%	.0%	3.3%	2.6%	.0%	3.0%	
DK/NA	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
Table Total													

	GENDER:		PARTISAN REGISTRATION:				ZIP CODE:				Table Total	
	Male	Female	Democrat	Republican	Unaffiliated/other	45430	45431	45432	45434	45440		Other
	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %		Col %
Q. 25. Supposing that the City does seek additional tax revenue within the next few years, would you prefer that it be an earned income tax, which is charged only on work wages or a property tax, which is charged only to property owners?	54.6%	63.8%	66.1%	61.8%	52.1%	60.2%	38.1%	64.4%	74.1%	73.7%	66.6%	59.4%
Earned income tax	30.6%	22.6%	17.9%	22.0%	37.6%	24.8%	42.1%	20.4%	16.4%	19.4%	33.4%	26.5%
Property tax	6.1%	3.9%	4.7%	5.6%	4.2%	6.4%	7.2%	4.5%	3.9%	.0%	.0%	4.9%
Mixed/some of both	6.1%	6.3%	4.7%	8.6%	4.0%	6.5%	9.1%	7.6%	2.7%	3.4%	.0%	6.2%
Neither	2.6%	3.4%	6.5%	2.0%	2.1%	2.0%	3.5%	3.1%	2.9%	3.5%	.0%	3.0%
DK/NA	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Table Total												

	Q. 24. As costs continue to increase and more money is needed to operate in the future, do you think that the City should seek a tax levy from voters, in order to preserve its existing programs and services or make the cuts and reductions...				Q. 25. Supposing that the City does seek additional tax revenue within the next few years, would you prefer that it be an earned income tax, which is charged only on work wages or a property tax, which is charged only to property owners?				Table Total	
	Seek a tax levy	Make cuts	Both/combination	DK/NA	Earned income tax	Property tax	Mixed/some of both	Neither		DK/NA
	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	
Q. 26. Generally speaking, would you favor or oppose a tax increase in order to fund police and law enforcement services, so that no cuts or reductions in patrol services have to be made?	82.9%	57.5%	78.9%	67.1%	75.2%	70.4%	60.5%	41.5%	57.0%	70.6%
Favor										
Oppose	14.8%	39.2%	21.1%	18.5%	21.3%	28.2%	26.9%	58.5%	31.2%	26.0%
DK/NA	2.3%	3.2%	.0%	14.4%	3.5%	1.4%	12.6%	.0%	11.7%	3.4%
Table Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

	AGE GROUP:			HOMEOWNERSHIP STATUS:			EMPLOYMENT STATUS:			Table Total
	18 to 44	45 to 64	65 and older	Own	Other	DK/NA	Yes, employed	No, not employed/homemaker	DK/NA	
	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	
Q. 26. Generally speaking, would you favor or oppose a tax increase in order to fund police and law enforcement services, so that no cuts or reductions in patrol services have to be made?	71.5%	68.8%	72.0%	.0%	69.1%	67.1%	71.1%	69.7%	74.2%	70.6%
Favor										
Oppose	25.0%	30.1%	22.1%	27.0%	34.1%	32.9%	26.0%	26.1%	25.8%	26.0%
DK/NA	3.5%	1.1%	5.9%	3.8%	.0%	.0%	3.0%	4.2%	.0%	3.4%
Table Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

	GENDER:		PARTISAN REGISTRATION:				ZIP CODE:					Table Total
	Male Col %	Female Col %	Democrat Col %	Republican Col %	Unaffiliated/other Col %	45430 Col %	45431 Col %	45432 Col %	45434 Col %	45440 Col %	Other Col %	
Favor	65.0%	75.6%	74.3%	70.9%	67.8%	66.4%	65.5%	81.9%	67.9%	70.8%	100.0%	70.6%
Oppose	32.3%	20.3%	22.3%	25.5%	29.0%	31.6%	33.2%	16.5%	23.3%	25.8%	.0%	26.0%
DK/NA	2.7%	4.1%	3.5%	3.6%	3.2%	2.0%	1.3%	1.5%	8.8%	3.5%	.0%	3.4%
Table Total:	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

	Q. 24. As costs continue to increase and more money is needed to operate in the future, do you think that the City, should seek a tax levy from voters, in order to preserve its existing programs and services or make the cuts and reductions...		Q. 25. Supposing that the City does seek additional tax revenue within the next few years, would you prefer that it be an earned income tax, which is charged only on work wages or a property tax, which is charged only to property owners?		Table Total					
	Seek a tax levy Col %	Make cuts Col %	Both/combination Col %	DK/NA Col %		Earned income tax Col %	Property tax Col %	Mixed/some of both Col %	Neither Col %	DK/NA Col %
Favor	72.5%	34.6%	41.6%	35.4%	54.7%	56.1%	40.7%	25.7%	21.1%	51.6%
Oppose	21.1%	62.4%	42.2%	32.2%	39.0%	39.0%	39.2%	69.1%	43.6%	41.0%
DK/NA	6.4%	3.0%	16.2%	32.4%	6.3%	4.9%	20.1%	5.2%	35.3%	7.4%
Table Total:	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Q. 27. Generally speaking, would you favor or oppose a tax increase in order to fund infrastructure improvements and repairs, to catch-up on a backlog of work that was delayed because of the economy?	AGE GROUP:			HOMEOWNERSHIP STATUS:				EMPLOYMENT STATUS:			Table Total	
	18 to 44	45 to 64	65 and older	DK/NA	Own	Rent	Other	DK/NA	Yes, employed	No, not employed/homemaker		DK/NA
	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %		Col %
Favor	52.3%	53.0%	48.6%	100.0%	48.7%	65.0%	67.2%	33.6%	52.9%	49.7%	50.4%	51.6%
Oppose	39.8%	43.0%	40.9%	.0%	43.0%	30.4%	32.8%	66.4%	40.9%	40.9%	49.6%	41.0%
DK/NA	7.9%	4.0%	10.6%	.0%	8.3%	4.6%	.0%	.0%	6.2%	9.4%	.0%	7.4%
Table Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Q. 27. Generally speaking, would you favor or oppose a tax increase in order to fund infrastructure improvements and repairs, to catch-up on a backlog of work that was delayed because of the economy?	GENDER:		PARTISAN REGISTRATION:			ZIP CODE:			Table Total			
	Male	Female	Democrat	Republican	Unaffiliated/other	45430	45431	45432				
	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %				
Favor	55.2%	48.2%	54.7%	43.5%	60.2%	51.8%	44.3%	56.7%	50.2%	63.2%	66.7%	51.6%
Oppose	38.8%	43.0%	33.8%	48.6%	35.4%	41.5%	48.6%	33.6%	42.9%	29.9%	33.3%	41.0%
DK/NA	6.0%	8.7%	11.4%	7.9%	4.4%	6.7%	7.1%	9.7%	6.8%	6.9%	.0%	7.4%
Table Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Q. 27. Generally speaking, would you favor or oppose a tax increase in order to fund infrastructure improvements and repairs, to catch-up on a backlog of work that was delayed because of the economy?	Favor		Oppose		DK/NA		Table Total
	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	
	51.2%	52.8%	54.4%	52.1%	13.6%	23.0%	
Q. 28. Supposing for a moment that more money becomes available for infrastructure improvements and repairs, which of the following would you say should be the top priority for use of the money?	Paving street surfaces	22.6%	17.7%	14.1%	20.0%		
	Upgrading the storm water system	3.9%	1.7%	8.2%	3.3%		
	Constructing pedestrian walkways and bicycle facilities	5.6%	.8%	.0%	3.2%		
	Replacing street curbs	2.0%	4.0%	.0%	2.7%		
	All/combination	1.1%	.0%	9.8%	1.3%		
Other	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%		
DK/NA							
Table Total							

Q. 28. Supposing for a moment that more money becomes available for infrastructure improvements and repairs, which of the following would you say should be the top priority for use of the money?	AGE GROUP:				HOMEOWNERSHIP STATUS:				EMPLOYMENT STATUS:				Table Total								
	18 to 44		45 to 64		65 and older		DK/NA		Own		Rent			Other		DK/NA		Yes, employed		No, not employed/homemaker	
	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %		Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %
Paving street surfaces	41.0%	53.9%	19.3%	18.7%	0%	54.6%	43.2%	32.8%	19.1%	10.3%	17.1%	0%	47.3%	58.9%	50.1%	52.1%	17.4%				
	15.0%	19.3%	18.7%	0%	19.1%	10.3%	17.1%	0%	16.2%	35.9%	34.1%	33.6%	24.5%	13.3%	24.1%	20.0%					
	34.6%	15.0%	5.8%	0%	16.2%	35.9%	34.1%	33.6%	16.2%	35.9%	34.1%	33.6%	24.5%	13.3%	24.1%	20.0%					
	4.2%	1.9%	3.8%	0%	3.7%	2.3%	0%	0%	3.7%	2.3%	0%	0%	2.2%	5.1%	0%	3.3%					
	2.5%	3.9%	3.6%	0%	2.8%	3.9%	16.0%	0%	2.8%	3.9%	16.0%	0%	3.2%	3.4%	0%	3.2%					
Upgrading the storm water system	9%	4.0%	3.6%	0%	2.4%	2.1%	0%	32.9%	2.4%	2.1%	0%	2.2%	2.6%	25.8%	2.7%						
	1.9%	1.9%	0%	0%	1.2%	2.3%	0%	0%	1.2%	2.3%	0%	1.6%	.9%	0%	1.3%						
	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%					
Table Total																					

Q. 28. Supporting for a moment that more money becomes available for infrastructure improvements and repairs, which of the following would you say should be the top priority for use of the money?	GENDER:		PARTISAN REGISTRATION:				ZIP CODE:				Table Total	
	Male Col %	Female Col %	Democrat Col %	Republican Col %	Unaffiliated/other Col %	45430 Col %	45431 Col %	45432 Col %	45434 Col %	45440 Col %		Other Col %
Paving street surfaces	50.3%	53.6%	52.9%	54.6%	48.3%	45.8%	46.5%	49.5%	59.4%	60.5%	100.0%	52.1%
Upgrading the storm water system	16.8%	18.0%	13.0%	22.4%	13.6%	22.0%	17.1%	14.2%	19.1%	16.1%	.0%	17.4%
Constructing pedestrian walkways and bicycle facilities	22.9%	17.3%	20.9%	11.1%	31.0%	19.8%	23.7%	22.3%	15.7%	16.6%	.0%	20.0%
Replacing street curbs	3.4%	3.3%	5.2%	3.5%	2.0%	4.2%	2.3%	8.0%	.0%	3.4%	.0%	3.3%
All/combination	2.6%	3.8%	3.3%	5.0%	1.0%	6.4%	4.4%	1.6%	2.9%	.0%	.0%	3.2%
Other	2.7%	2.8%	1.6%	2.9%	3.0%	1.9%	4.7%	1.6%	2.8%	.0%	.0%	2.7%
DK/NA	1.2%	1.4%	3.1%	.6%	1.1%	.0%	1.3%	2.8%	.0%	3.5%	.0%	1.3%
Table Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

AGE GROUP:	HOMEOWNERSHIP STATUS:				EMPLOYMENT STATUS:				Table Total
	Own Col %	Rent Col %	Other Col %	DK/NA Col %	Yes, employed Col %	No, not employed/homemaker Col %	DK/NA Col %	DK/NA Col %	
18 to 44	30.7%	75.4%	100.0%	.0%	51.8%	21.6%	24.1%	39.2%	
45 to 64	34.6%	18.0%	.0%	67.1%	41.2%	17.7%	26.3%	31.5%	
65 and older	34.3%	6.5%	.0%	32.9%	6.5%	60.7%	49.6%	29.0%	
DK/NA	.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.5%	.0%	.0%	.3%	
Table Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

AGE GROUP:	GENDER:		PARTISAN REGISTRATION:				ZIP CODE:				Table Total	
	Male Col %	Female Col %	Democrat Col %	Republican Col %	Unaffiliated/other Col %	45430 Col %	45431 Col %	45432 Col %	45434 Col %	45440 Col %		Other Col %
18 to 44	34.4%	43.6%	26.2%	22.0%	69.8%	51.6%	36.5%	27.9%	37.0%	33.4%	39.2%	
45 to 64	37.0%	26.4%	37.7%	31.3%	27.9%	23.1%	35.2%	32.9%	39.9%	.0%	31.5%	
65 and older	28.0%	30.0%	36.1%	46.0%	2.3%	25.3%	26.8%	39.2%	23.1%	66.6%	29.0%	
DK/NA	.6%	.0%	.0%	.7%	.0%	.0%	1.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.3%	
Table Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

		AGE GROUP:				EMPLOYMENT STATUS:				Table Total		
		18 to 44		45 to 64		65 and older		Yes, employed			No, not employed/homemaker	
		Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %		Col %	Col %
HOMEOWNERSHIP STATUS:	Own	63.1%	88.4%	95.1%	100.0%	DK/NA	DK/NA	76.3%	87.5%	47.9%	80.5%	
	Rent	31.3%	9.3%	3.7%	.0%	.0%	.0%	20.0%	11.5%	.0%	16.3%	
	Other	5.6%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	3.1%	.9%	.0%	2.2%	
	DK/NA	.0%	2.2%	1.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.6%	.0%	52.1%	1.1%	
Table Total		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

		GENDER:		PARTISAN REGISTRATION:				ZIP CODE:				Table Total		
		Male		Female		Democrat		Republican		Unaffiliated/Other			Other	
		Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %		Col %	Col %
HOMEOWNERSHIP STATUS:	Own	81.6%	79.5%	90.2%	92.8%	58.3%	93.5%	62.5%	78.0%	95.5%	83.6%	66.8%	80.5%	
	Rent	17.7%	15.0%	8.1%	6.4%	34.2%	6.5%	31.3%	16.7%	2.9%	16.4%	33.4%	16.3%	
	Other	.7%	3.5%	1.7%	.0%	5.4%	.0%	2.5%	5.2%	1.6%	.0%	.0%	2.2%	
	DK/NA	.0%	2.0%	.0%	.8%	2.1%	.0%	.0%	3.6%	.0%	.0%	.0%	1.1%	
Table Total		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

		AGE GROUP:				HOMEOWNERSHIP STATUS:				Table Total		
		18 to 44		45 to 64		65 and older		Own			Rent	
		Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %		Col %	Col %
EMPLOYMENT STATUS:	Yes, employed	76.9%	76.1%	12.9%	100.0%	DK/NA	DK/NA	55.2%	71.3%	82.9%	33.6%	58.2%
	No, not employed/homemaker	22.3%	22.8%	84.8%	.0%	.0%	.0%	44.0%	28.7%	17.1%	.0%	40.5%
	DK/NA	.8%	1.1%	2.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.8%	.0%	.0%	66.4%	1.3%
	Table Total		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

EMPLOYMENT STATUS:	GENDER:		PARTISAN REGISTRATION:					ZIP CODE:					Table Total
	Male	Female	Democrat	Republican	Unaffiliated/other	45430	45431	45432	45434	45440	Other		
	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %		
Yes, employed	64.8%	52.1%	50.3%	50.9%	72.6%	65.1%	59.1%	59.9%	52.5%	57.2%	33.4%	58.2%	
No, not employed/homemaker	33.9%	46.5%	48.1%	47.6%	26.4%	34.9%	38.5%	38.5%	47.5%	39.6%	66.6%	40.5%	
DK/NA	1.4%	1.3%	1.5%	1.5%	1.0%	.0%	2.4%	1.5%	.0%	3.2%	.0%	1.3%	
Table Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

GENDER:	AGE GROUP:		HOMEOWNERSHIP STATUS:					EMPLOYMENT STATUS:			Table Total	
	18 to 44	45 to 64	65 and older	DK/NA	Own	Rent	Other	DK/NA	Yes, employed	No, not employed/homemaker		
	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %		
Male	41.8%	56.1%	46.0%	100.0%	48.3%	51.9%	15.8%	.0%	53.1%	39.9%	47.9%	47.7%
Female	58.2%	43.9%	54.0%	.0%	51.7%	48.1%	84.2%	100.0%	46.9%	60.1%	52.1%	52.3%
Table Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

GENDER:	PARTISAN REGISTRATION:		ZIP CODE:					Table Total		
	Democrat	Republican	Unaffiliated/other	45430	45431	45432	45434		45440	Other
	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %		Col %	Col %
Male	42.9%	50.5%	46.9%	51.4%	49.6%	38.6%	48.4%	55.3%	33.4%	47.7%
Female	57.1%	49.5%	53.1%	48.6%	50.4%	61.4%	51.6%	44.7%	66.6%	52.3%
Table Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

PARTISAN REGISTRATION:	AGE GROUP:		HOMEOWNERSHIP STATUS:					EMPLOYMENT STATUS:			Table Total
	18 to 44	45 to 64	65 and older	DK/NA	Own	Rent	Other	DK/NA	Yes, employed	No, not employed/homemaker	
	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	
Democrat	14.0%	25.1%	26.1%	.0%	23.3%	10.4%	16.0%	.0%	18.1%	24.9%	21.0%
Republican	25.2%	44.6%	71.2%	100.0%	51.7%	17.7%	.0%	32.9%	39.2%	52.8%	44.9%
Unaffiliated/other	60.8%	30.3%	2.7%	.0%	24.8%	71.9%	84.0%	67.1%	42.6%	22.3%	34.2%
Table Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

		GENDER:		ZIP CODE:						Table Total	
		Male Col %	Female Col %	45430 Col %	45431 Col %	45432 Col %	45434 Col %	45440 Col %	Other Col %	Col %	Col %
PARTISAN REGISTRATION:	Democrat	18.9%	22.9%	17.3%	13.8%	28.1%	28.8%	16.2%	.0%	21.0%	
	Republican	47.5%	42.4%	53.9%	40.9%	44.7%	41.2%	49.5%	66.6%	44.9%	
	Unaffiliated/other	33.6%	34.7%	28.8%	45.4%	27.2%	30.1%	34.3%	33.4%	34.2%	
Table Total		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

		AGE GROUP:			HOMEOWNERSHIP STATUS:			EMPLOYMENT STATUS:			Table Total		
		18 to 44 Col %	45 to 64 Col %	65 and older Col %	Own Col %	Rent Col %	Other Col %	DK/NA Col %	Yes, employed Col %	No, not employed/homemaker Col %	DK/NA Col %	Col %	Col %
ZIP CODE:	45430	14.9%	17.5%	12.7%	.0%	17.5%	6.0%	.0%	16.8%	13.0%	.0%	15.0%	
	45431	38.2%	21.3%	25.3%	.0%	22.6%	55.9%	33.0%	29.5%	27.6%	52.1%	29.0%	
	45432	19.5%	23.5%	19.4%	100.0%	20.3%	21.5%	.0%	21.6%	20.0%	24.1%	21.0%	
	45434	16.9%	24.9%	32.1%	.0%	28.2%	4.3%	17.1%	.0%	21.5%	27.9%	.0%	23.8%
	45440	9.6%	12.9%	8.1%	.0%	10.6%	10.2%	.0%	10.0%	9.9%	23.8%	10.2%	
Other		.9%	.0%	2.4%	.0%	.9%	2.1%	.0%	.6%	1.7%	.0%	1.0%	
Table Total		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

		GENDER:		PARTISAN REGISTRATION:			Table Total	
		Male Col %	Female Col %	Democrat Col %	Republican Col %	Unaffiliated/other Col %	Col %	Col %
ZIP CODE:	45430	16.2%	14.0%	12.4%	18.1%	12.7%	15.0%	
	45431	30.2%	28.0%	19.1%	26.5%	38.5%	29.0%	
	45432	17.0%	24.6%	28.1%	20.9%	16.7%	21.0%	
	45434	24.1%	23.4%	32.6%	21.8%	20.9%	23.8%	
	45440	11.8%	8.7%	7.8%	11.2%	10.2%	10.2%	
Other		.7%	1.3%	.0%	1.5%	1.0%	1.0%	
Table Total		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

MEMORANDUM

TO: Mayor and Council Members

CC: Michael A. Cornell, City Manager

FROM: Stephen M. McHugh

DATE: February 18, 2016

RE: Direct Election of the Mayor

MAC 2/18/16

Attached please find a timeline for the adoption of the necessary legislation to place on the ballot the charter amendments required to directly elect the Mayor. The filing deadline with the Greene County Board of Elections is August 10, 2016. The alternative schedule is not recommended. No grace period is built into the schedule. If adoption is delayed under the alternative schedule to the next regular meeting, the deadline to file could be missed.

Attached is a copy of the memo with two alternative versions for the direct election of the Mayor. The difference is how many terms a person serves as either Mayor or Council member during a four term period.

w:\wdox\client\012083\00100\00802162.docx

Timeline for 2016 Charter Amendment

Recommended Schedule-

First Reading: May 9th
Second Reading: May 23rd
Third Reading: June 13th
Effective Date: July 14th

Alternative Schedule-

First Reading: May 23rd
Second Reading: June 13th
Third Reading: June 27th
Effective Date: July 28th

Deadline to file with Greene County Board of Elections August 10th.

MEMORANDUM

TO: Michael A. Cornell, City Manager
FROM: Stephen M. McHugh
DATE: May 15, 2014
RE: Alternative wording of Charter Section 4.02 Selection; Term

Attached are two versions of Section 4.02. Version 1 was presented and reviewed at the April 21st work session. Version 2 was prepared by Mayor Jarvis after the work session. At the Mayor's request, both versions are attached hereto. Mayor Jarvis and I discussed these two versions and there is a fundamental difference between them.

Version 1 reflects the Charter Commission's recommendation that a person serves continuously no more than 2 terms as Mayor and 2 terms as Council member in a consecutive 4 term period.

Version 2 would allow a person to serve in a continuous 4 term period, 3 terms as Mayor or 3 terms as Council member, so long as the person in both scenarios served no more than 2 as either Mayor or Council member in a row.

Simply put the difference is: can a person serve 2 or 3 terms as either Mayor or Council member in a 4 term period?

w:\wdox\client\012083\00100\00583416.docx

SECTION 4.01. POWERS; NUMBER.

Except as provided in this Charter with regard to initiative and referendum, all legislative powers of the City of Beavercreek shall be vested in a Council consisting of seven (7) members. ~~All members shall be nominated and elected at large by the qualified electors of the City.~~ **Six (6) members shall be nominated and elected at large by the qualified electors of the City and the Mayor shall be separately nominated and elected.**

SECTION 4.02. SELECTION; TERM.

~~All Six Council~~ members shall be elected as **Council members at large** to four (4) year terms on a rotation of ~~four (4) three (3)~~ **three (3)** members selected in one election and three (3) members selected in the next. This rotation will begin with ~~four (4) three (3)~~ **three (3)** persons being selected ~~in~~ at the ~~1991~~ **2015** November general election and three (3) selected ~~in~~ at the ~~1993~~ **2017** November general election and will continue at the November general election subsequent off numbered years. **The Mayor shall be selected by separate ballot to a four (4) year term beginning at the 2015 November general election.**

(A) Term Limitation.

(1) ~~In no case shall any person be elected to either the office of council member, mayor, or a combination of the two offices, for more than two (2) consecutive terms of four (4) years each.~~ **Separate term limitations are imposed on the offices of Mayor and Council member at large. A person may be elected to no more than two (2) consecutive terms of four (4) years each as a Council member at large and a person may be elected to no more than two (2) consecutive terms of four (4) years each as Mayor, ~~mayor, or a combination of the two offices;~~ provided that the term time served in filling a vacancy or unexpired term shall not be considered for the purposes of calculating term limitations. as part of the two (2) consecutive terms of four (4) years each.**

(2) A person may be elected to two (2) terms as Council member at large and two (2) terms as ~~m~~Mayor, in any order, for a total of four (4) terms served consecutively. In no case shall a person be elected to Council, as either Council member at large or Mayor, for more than four (4) consecutive terms.

(2) (3) Following the election to two (2) consecutive terms as ~~either~~ Council member, ~~mayor,~~ or following the election to four (4) consecutive terms as a combination of the two offices Mayor and Council member at large, subject to the two (2) term limit per each office, no person shall immediately thereafter be eligible for election

as **Council member at large** to either office, or a combination thereof, until a period of one (1) term consisting of four (4) years has elapsed.

~~(2) (4) Following the election to two (2) consecutive terms as either council member, mMayor, or following the election to four (4) consecutive terms as a combination of the two offices Mayor and Council member at large, subject to the two (2) term limit per each office, no person shall immediately thereafter be eligible for election as Mayor to either office, or a combination thereof, until a period of one (1) term consisting of four (4) years has elapsed.~~

~~(3) (5) No person who has been elected to two (2) consecutive terms as Council member Mayor, or and no person who has been elected to a combination of the two offices of Mayor and Council member at large for four (4) consecutive terms allowing for two (2) terms limit per each office, shall be appointed to fill a vacancy or unexpired term as Council member at large until a period of one (1) term consisting of four (4) years has elapsed since that same person last held office as either Council member or Mayor.~~

~~(3) (6) No person who has been elected to two (2) consecutive terms as Council member Mayor, and no person who has been elected to a combination of the two offices of Mayor and Council member at large for four (4) consecutive terms allowing for two (2) terms limit per each office, shall be appointed to fill a vacancy or unexpired term as Mayor until a period of one (1) term consisting of four (4) years has elapsed since that same person last held office as either Council member or Mayor.~~

~~(4) Present members already elected to more than two (2) consecutive terms as either council member, mayor, or a combination of the two offices, shall finish the present term to which they were elected.~~

This proposed Charter amendment shall become effective from the time of its approval by the electors and shall apply to all present eCouncil members and mMayor.

SECTION 4.04. OFFICERS OF THE COUNCIL.

~~The City Council candidate receiving the highest number of votes from City electors at the most recent November general election in an odd numbered year will be the Mayor and will serve as such for the first two (2) years of his or her term. The candidate receiving the second highest number of votes at that election will be the Vice Mayor and will serve for the same two (2) years in that capacity. Tie votes in any~~

~~Council election shall be resolved by a coin flip in the presence of the person then serving as Mayor or in the presence of two other members of Council.~~

(A) *Mayor.* The Mayor shall have the right to vote on all issues before the Council but shall have no power of veto. In addition to the powers, rights, and duties as a Council member, the Mayor shall preside at meetings of the Council, shall be recognized as head of the city government for all ceremonial purposes, by the Governor for purposes of military law, and by the courts for civil process involving the City. The Mayor is recognized as the Chief Executive Officer [Executive Officer] as required by the Ohio Revised Code for purposes of declaring an emergency, but not for any action other than as required by declared emergencies. The Mayor may by ordinance have judicial powers and shall perform all other duties prescribed by ordinance or by resolution of the Council not inconsistent with the provisions of this Charter.

(B) *Vice Mayor.* The Council shall, at the regular meeting in January following its election, and every two (2) years thereafter, choose, by a majority vote of all members of the Council, one of its members as Vice Mayor who shall act as Mayor during the absence or disability of the Mayor. If a vacancy occurs, the Vice Mayor shall serve as Mayor until the next regular Municipal election. At such election a Mayor shall be elected to serve for the unexpired term, if any; if not, for a full term. Upon the election of a Mayor, other than the Vice Mayor then serving as Mayor, the Vice Mayor may retain a position as Council member for the remainder of his or her unexpired term. In the event of a vacancy in the office of Mayor whereby the Vice Mayor is required to serve as Mayor, the Council shall select a person to fill the vacancy in Council as provided in Section 4.07(c). The person so chosen shall serve only until a Mayor is elected as provided herein.

~~The Vice Mayor shall exercise all the power and perform all the duties of the Mayor in case of temporary absence or disability. Should the office of Mayor become vacant, the Vice Mayor shall succeed to that office. If the office of Vice Mayor becomes vacant, the successor Vice Mayor shall be the member who received the next higher number of votes at the most recent rotation election of Council members. If it is not possible to identify a successor in that manner, the Council shall choose a new Vice Mayor at its next regular meeting.~~

(C) *Tie Votes-* Tie votes in any Council election shall be resolved by a coin flip in the presence of the person then serving as Mayor or in the presence of two other members of Council.

**BEAVERCREEK, OHIO
CHARTER TABLE OF CONTENTS**

ARTICLE IV THE COUNCIL

SECTION 4.01. POWERS; NUMBER.

Except as provided in this Charter with regard to initiative and referendum, all legislative powers of the City of Beavercreek shall be vested in a Council consisting of seven (7) members. **Six (6) members shall be nominated and elected Council members at large by the qualified electors of the City and the Mayor shall be separately nominated and elected.**

SECTION 4.02. SELECTION; TERM.

Six Council members shall be elected as Council members at large to four (4) year terms on a rotation of three (3) members selected in one election and three (3) members selected in the next. This rotation will begin with three (3) persons being selected in the 2015 November general election and three (3) selected in the 2017 November general election and will continue at the November general election subsequent off numbered years. The Mayor shall be elected by separate ballot to a four (4) year term beginning at the 2015 November general election.

(A) Term Limitation.

Separate term limitations are imposed on the offices of Mayor and Council member at large.

(1) A person may be elected to no more than two (2) consecutive terms of four (4) years each as a Council member at large and a person may be elected to no more than two (2) consecutive terms of four (4) years each as Mayor, provided that the time served in filling a vacancy shall not be considered for the purposes of calculating term limitations.

(2) Following the election to two (2) consecutive terms of four (4) years each as Council member at large, no person shall immediately thereafter be eligible for election as Council member at large nor shall be appointed to fill a vacancy or unexpired term as Council member at large, until a period of one (1) term consisting of four (4) years has elapsed.

(3) Following the election to two (2) consecutive terms of four (4) years each as Mayor, no person shall immediately thereafter be eligible for election as Mayor nor shall be appointed to fill a vacancy or unexpired term as Mayor, until a period of one (1) term consisting of four (4) years has elapsed.

(4) In no case shall a person be elected to a combination of Council member at large and Mayor for more than four (4) consecutive terms.

This proposed Charter amendment shall become effective from the time of its approval by the electors and shall apply to all present Council members and Mayor.

SECTION 4.04. OFFICERS OF THE COUNCIL.

(A) *Mayor.* The Mayor shall have the right to vote on all issues before the Council but shall have no power of veto. In addition to the powers, rights, and duties as a Council member, the Mayor shall preside at meetings of the Council, shall be recognized as head of the city government for all ceremonial purposes, by the Governor for purposes of military law, and by the courts for civil process involving the City. The Mayor is recognized as the Chief Executive Officer [Executive Officer] as required by the Ohio Revised Code for purposes of declaring an emergency, but not for any action other than as required by declared emergencies. The Mayor may by ordinance have judicial powers and shall perform all other duties prescribed by ordinance or by resolution of the Council not inconsistent with the provisions of this Charter.

(B) *Vice Mayor.* The Council shall, at the regular meeting in January following its election, and every two (2) years thereafter, choose, by a majority vote of all members of the Council, one of its members as Vice Mayor who shall act as Mayor during the absence or disability of the Mayor. If a vacancy occurs, the Vice Mayor shall serve as Mayor until the next regular Municipal election. At such election a Mayor shall be elected to serve for the unexpired term, if any; if not, for a full term. Upon the election of a Mayor, other than the Vice Mayor then serving as Mayor, the Vice Mayor may retain a position as Council member for the remainder of their unexpired term. In the event of a vacancy in the office as Mayor whereby the Vice Mayor is required to serve as Mayor, the Council shall select a person to fill the vacancy in Council as provided in Section 4.07(c). The person so chosen shall serve only until a Mayor is elected as provided herein.